J. Indian Inst. Sci., 65(B), Aug. 1984, pp 163-172

e Indian Institute of Science, Printed in India.

The limit-2 case of a second-order differential system

PRABIR KUMAR SENGUPTA

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta Calcutta 700 019.

Received on June 26, 1984.

Abstract

A technique is developed for identifying the system

$$M\left[\Phi\right] = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{dx} \left(p\frac{d}{dx}\right) + q_1 & q_2 \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\$$

to be in the limit-2 case at infinity

Key words: Limit-2 case at infinity, Hilbert space, spectral theory, Lebesgue integrable, linear manifold, bilinear form

1. Introduction

Let M denote the formally symmetric second-order vector-matrix differential expression given by

$$M[\Psi] = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{dx} \left(p \frac{d}{dx} \right) + q_1 & q_2 \\ & & \\ &$$

 Ψ being a complex-valued vector function $\Psi = {f \choose g}$, suitably differentiable on the interval $(0,\infty)$ and where the coefficients p, r and q_1 (j = 1,2,3) satisfy the following conditions: (i) p(x), r(x) are real-valued and positive for all x on $(0,\infty)$ and are absolutely continuous on all compact sub-intervals of $(0,\infty)$.

(ii) q_i (i = 1,2,3) are real-valued and continuous on $(0,\infty)$.

The Hilbert space H in which the spectral theory of M is developed is that of complexvalued vector-functions $\Psi = (f_{\rho}^{f})$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \{ \|f\|^{2} + \|g\|^{2} \} \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty$$
(1.2)

or, equivalently, each of Re(f), Re(g), Im(f), Im(g) is square-integrable on $(0,\infty)$; we express these by writing Be(f), Rc(g), Im(f), Im(g) $\epsilon L^2(0,\infty)$. The inner product of two vectors $\Psi = \langle f_g \rangle$ and $\Phi = (\frac{U}{V})$ is defined by

$$(\Psi, \Phi) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (f\overline{u} + g\overline{v}) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It is known [See Chakravarty¹, Sengupta², Naimark³ (§. 17.5 VII) and Glazman⁴ (Ch. I. §. 13] that the differential system

$$M[\Psi] = \lambda \Psi, \quad \text{Im}\lambda \neq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

possesses at least two and at most four linearly independent solutions on $(0, \infty)$ which lie in H. $M[\cdot]$ is said to be in the limit-S case at infinity if the differential system (1.3) has exactly S number of linearly independent solutions in H. Given p, r, q_1 , q_2 , q_3 the number S is independent of λ , as long as im $\lambda \neq 0$. The idea of this paper is to establish a general set of sufficient conditions on the coefficients p, r, q_1, q_2, q_3 so that $M[\cdot]$ is in the limit-2 case at infinity. Several methods have been used for investigating the limit-2 case for the system (1.3) or for one similar to it. In 1954, Lidskii⁵ showed that the system

$$-Y'' + QY = \lambda Y, \operatorname{Im} \lambda \measuredangle 0 \tag{1.4}$$

possesses k number of linearly independent square-integrable solutions on $(0,\infty)$ provided the square hermitian matrix Q(x) of order k satisfies

$$(Q(x) h,h) \ge -N(x) ||h||^2$$

for any constant k-vector h, where the positive continuous function N(x) satisfies

Sear's result ⁶ can be derived from Lidskii's result by putting k = 1. Chakravarty⁷ (Th. III) aroved in a different way that the system

$$M_{\ell}[\psi] \approx \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_2 \\ \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_2 & q_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \psi = \lambda \psi$$
(1.6)

is in the limit-2 case at infinity if q_1, q_2, q_3 are all $0(x^2)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. And erson⁸ discussed the system

$$\Psi^{(2n)} + Q \Psi = \lambda \Psi \tag{1.7}$$

where Q is a $k \times k$ matrix of real measurable functions which are Lebesgue integrable on compact sub-intervals of $(0,\infty)$ and Ψ is a k-vector, and extended the results of Lidskii⁵ to the case when the system (1.7) possesses the minimum number (viz. nk) of square-integrable solutions on $(0,\infty)$. The method applied by Anderson is similar to that applied by Hinton⁶ to the corresponding scalar equation. In particular, if n = 1, k = 2 Anderson proved that [Th. 2.4], the system

$$\psi'' + \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ & & \\ q_2 & & q_3' \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi = i\psi$$

is in the limit-2 case at infinity if $q_1, q_3, |q_2| \le N(x)$ for N(x) as in (1.5). Following Titchmarsh¹⁰ (Th. 2.20) Bhagat and Guma¹¹ (§ 5) pointed out that the system (1.3) with p=r=1 is in the limit-2 case at infinity, if $q_2=0(1)$ and $q_1, q_3 \ge -N(x)$ is a positive, continuous non-decreasing function of x satisfying condition (i) of (1.5). A complete analysis of the system (1.6) has been made by Eastham¹² when q/s, j=1,2,3 are multiples of powers of x, giving conditions under which S=2 or S=3 or 4. In this connection mention should also be made of the papers by Titchmarsh¹³, Shaw and Bhagat¹⁴, Sengupta^{15, 16}, Eastham¹⁷ and Everitt ¹⁸⁻²⁰

In this paper, we present a simpler method to establish that the system (1.3) is in the limit-2 case at infinity under suitable conditions imposed on the coefficients p,r,q_1,q_2,q_3 which will include the cases mentioned earlier. The method employed is based on an extension of a technique given in Levinson²¹ or Coddington and Levinson²² (Th. 2.4 Ch. 9, Sec. 2). The result obtained is given in the following theorem:

Theorem: Let N(x) be a positive, absolutely continuous and non-decreasing function of x such that

(i)
$$\int_{a} \left[PN \right]^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}x \ diverges, P = max\left(p, r\right)$$
(1.8)

(ii) lim
$$\sup_{x\to\infty} N' \sqrt{[p/N^3]}$$
 and lim $\sup_{x\to\infty} N' \sqrt{(r/N^3)}$ exist finitely (1.9) and moreover.

(iii)
$$q_1(x) \ge -k_1 N(x), q_3(x) \ge -k_3 N(x)$$
 and $|q_2(x)| \le k_2 N(x)$ (1.10)

 $(k_1, k_2, k_3 \text{ are all finite positive constants})$ hold for all sufficiently large values of x.

Then $M[\cdot]$ is in the limit-2 case at infinity.

The proof is given in the following section. In proving the theorem we extract a function

$$W(x) = \int_a^x \left[\left(\theta' \right)^T R \, \theta' / N \right] \mathrm{d}x \qquad \left[R = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \quad \right],$$

from the equation

$$\int_{\theta}^{x} (\theta^{T} M[\theta]/N) \, \mathrm{d}x = i \int_{\theta}^{x} (\theta^{T} \theta/N) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

converging to a finite limit as $x \to \infty$, which later produces $(R\theta'/\sqrt{PN}) \in H$ for all $\theta \in D$ [See section 2 for definition of D]. Finally the theorem follows on utilising the last result along with (1.8) and (2.1).

2. Proof of the theorem

We introduce a linear manifold D as follows:

A vector-valued function $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ is in D if and only if

(i) Ψ ∈ H
(ii) f', g' are absolutely continuous on (0,∞)
(iii) M [Ψ] ∈ H

For $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$, $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \epsilon D$, it is known from Green's formula that

$$\int_{0}^{x} \overline{\phi}^{T} M[\Psi] dx - \int_{0}^{x} \Psi^{T} \overline{M[\Phi]} dx = \{ p (f\overline{u}' - f'\overline{u}) + r (g\overline{v}' - g'\overline{v}) \}_{0}^{x}$$

and the bilinear form

$$[\Psi \Phi] = p (f\bar{u}' - f'\bar{u}) + r (g\bar{v}' - g'\bar{v}) \text{ tends to a finite limit as } x \to \infty$$
(2.1)

and that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} [\Psi \Phi] = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all Ψ , $\Phi \in D$ if and only if M is in the limit-2 case at infinity [See Sengupta² Th. 6.2; Naimark² § 18.3 lemma].

Since the number of L^2 -solutions of the system (1.3) remains uncharged as long as im $\lambda \neq 0$, we start to prove the theorem by choosing $\lambda = i$ in it.

Let
$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 + if_2 \\ g_1 \neq ig \end{pmatrix} \epsilon D$$
 be a solution of $M[\Psi] = i\Psi$ satisfying the initial conditions
 $f(a) = \alpha, g(a) = \beta$
 $p(a)f'(a) = \gamma, r(a)g'(a) = \delta$
 $a > 0$

 $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ are finite complex constants [For existence of the initial conditions, see Sengupta² Th. 3.1]. Multiply both sides of $M[\Psi] = i \Psi$ by $(\overline{\Psi}^{-T}/N)$, integrate between a and x, and then integrating the right-hand side by parts, we get

$$-\int_{a}^{x} \frac{q_{1}ff' + q_{2}(fg + \bar{fg}) + q_{3}gg}{N} dx + i\int_{a}^{x} \frac{|f|^{2} + |g|^{2}}{N} dx = -\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(pf')'f' + (rg')'g}{N} dx$$
$$= -\left[\frac{pf'f' + rg'\bar{g}}{N}\right]_{a}^{x} + \int_{a}^{x} \frac{pf'f' + rg'\bar{g}'}{N} dx - \int_{a}^{x} \frac{(pf'f' + rg'\bar{g})N'}{N^{2}} dx$$

Taking real parts from both the sides,

$$-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{q_{1}|f|^{2} + 2q_{2}(f_{1}g_{1} + f_{2}g_{2}) + q_{3}|g|^{2}}{N} dx = -\frac{p(f_{1}f_{1} + f_{2}f_{2}') + r(g_{1}g_{1}' + g_{2}g_{2}')}{N}|_{a}^{x} + \int_{0}^{x} \frac{p|f'|^{2} + r|g'|^{2}}{N} dx - \int_{0}^{x} \frac{p(f_{1}f_{1}' + f_{2}f_{2}') + r(g_{1}g_{1}' + g_{2}g_{2}')}{N^{2}} N' dx$$

then by condition (1.10) l.h.s. satisfies the inequality

$$-\int_{a}^{x} \frac{q_{1}|f|^{2} + 2q_{2}(f_{1}g_{1} + f_{2}g_{2}) + q_{3}|g|^{2}}{N} dx \leq -\int_{a}^{x} \frac{q_{1}|f|^{2} + q_{3}|g|^{2}}{N} dx + 2\int_{a}^{x} \frac{|q_{2}||f_{1}g_{1} + f_{2}g_{2}|}{N} dx < k_{1}\int_{a}^{x} |f|^{2} dx + k_{3}\int_{a}^{x} |g|^{2} dx + 2k_{2}\int_{a}^{x} |f_{1}g_{1} + f_{2}g_{2}| dx$$

Hence there exists a constant K such that

$$K > -\frac{p(f_1f_1' + f_2f_2') + r(g_1g_1' + g_2g_2')}{N} + \int_{a}^{x} \frac{p|f'|^2 + r|g'|^2}{N} dx - -\int_{a}^{x} \frac{p(f_1f_1' + f_2f_2') + r(g_1g_1' + g_2g_2')}{N^2} N' dx, \quad (Fx)$$
(2.3)

Now it is to be proved that if the solution $\Psi \in D$ then the integral

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{p |f'|^{2} + r |g'|^{2}}{N} dx$$

converges. For, suppose conversely that this integral diverges, then the function

$$W(x) = \int_{a}^{x} \frac{p |f'|^{2} + r |g'|^{2}}{N} dx$$

is positive, monotonically increasing and tends to $+\infty$ as $x \to \infty$. Using condition (1.9) and then the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality results in

$$\begin{aligned} &| \int_{a}^{x} \frac{p(f_{1}f_{1}' + f_{2}f_{2}') + r(g_{1}g_{1}' + g_{2}g_{2}')}{N^{2}} N' \, \mathrm{d} x | \\ &< \int_{a}^{x} \left[|\sqrt{p/N^{3}} N' \sqrt{P/N}(f_{1}f_{1}' + f_{2}f_{2}')| + |\sqrt{r/N^{3}} N' \sqrt{r/N}(g_{1}g_{1}' + g_{2}g_{2}')| \right] \mathrm{d} x \\ &< K_{1} \int_{a}^{x} \left[\sqrt{P/N} |f_{1}f_{1}' + f_{2}f_{2}'| + \sqrt{r/N} |g_{1}g_{1}' + g_{2}g_{2}'| \right] \, \mathrm{d} x \\ &\leq K_{1} \int_{a}^{x} \left\{ (f_{1}^{2} + f_{2}^{2}) + (g_{1}^{2} + g_{2}^{2}) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{p(f_{1}'^{2} + f_{2}'^{2}) + r(g_{1}'^{2} + g_{2}'^{2})}{N} \right]^{1/2} \mathrm{d} x \\ &< K_{1} \left\{ \int_{a}^{x} (|f|^{2} + |g|^{2}) \, \mathrm{d} x \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{a}^{x} \frac{p|f'|^{2} + r|g'|^{2}}{N} \, \mathrm{d} x \right\}^{1/2} \\ &< K_{2} \sqrt{W(x)} \end{aligned}$$

Applying these results in (2.3), we find that

$$K \gg W(x) - \frac{p(f_1 f_1' + f_2 f_2') + r(g_1 g_1' + g_2 g_2')}{N} - K_2 \sqrt{W(x)}, \ (\forall x)$$

Since $W(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the last inequality can hold only if

$$\frac{p(f_1f_1+f_2f_2)+r(g_1g_1+g_2g_2)}{N} > 1/2 W(x)$$

for all sufficiently large x. As p,r and N are positive it appears from the above inequality that at least one of the pairs $f_1, f_1; f_2, f_2; g_1, g_1; g_2, g_2$ is of the same sign for large x. In this situation at least one of the four integrals

168

1.00

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{2}^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{1}^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{2}^{2} \mathrm{d}x$$

fails to exist and this contradicts the fact that $\Psi \epsilon D$. Thus, W(x) remains finite for $\Psi \epsilon D$ and that

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{p \left| f' \right|^2 + r \left| g' \right|^2}{N} \, \mathrm{d} x < \infty,$$

it then follows

$$\sqrt{p/N} |f'|, \sqrt{r/N} |g'| \in L^2(0,\infty)$$

and consequently

$$\frac{p |f'|}{\sqrt{PN}} \le \frac{p |f'|}{\sqrt{pN}} = \sqrt{p/N} |f'| \in L^2(0,\infty),$$
(2.4a)

 $[P = \max(p, r)]$ and like wise

$$\frac{|r|g'|}{\sqrt{PN}} \in L^2(0,\infty)$$
(2.4b)

for all $\Psi \in D$.

7

Utilizing the results obtained we now show that $\lim_{t \to 0} [\Psi \Phi] = 0$ for any solution $\Psi, \Phi, \epsilon D$. From (2.1) we find

$$\int_{a}^{x} \frac{|[\Psi\Phi]|}{\sqrt{PN}} dx = \int_{a}^{x} \frac{|pf\overline{u}' - pf'\overline{u} + rg\overline{v}' - rg'\overline{v}|}{\sqrt{PN}} dx$$
$$\leq \int_{a}^{x} \frac{p|f||\overline{u}'| + p|f'||\overline{u}| + r|g||\overline{v}'| + r|g'||\overline{v}|}{\sqrt{PN}} dx$$

The integral on the right side converges as x tending to infinity following the result (2.4) for Ψ , $\Phi \in D$ and consequently

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{|[\Psi\Phi]|}{\sqrt{PN}} \, \mathrm{d} \, x$$

converges. Now, if $\lim_{k \to \infty} [\Psi \Phi] = k$, a finite limit ($\neq 0$), we can find an $X_k \in (0, \infty)$, depending on k such that

$$1/2|k| < |[\Psi\Phi]| < 3/2|k|$$

hold for all $x > X_k$. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{|[\Psi\Phi]|}{\sqrt{PN}} \, \mathrm{d}x = (\int_{a}^{u} + \int_{u}^{b}) \frac{|[\Psi\phi]|}{\sqrt{PN}} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge I_{1} + 1/2 \, |k| \int_{u}^{v} \mathrm{d}x/\sqrt{PN} - \infty,$$

as $X \to \infty$ contradictory to (2.5) and the desired result $\lim_{t \to \infty} [\Psi \Phi] = 0$ is achieved, which ensures the system $M[\cdot]$ to be in the limit-2 case at infinity.

Remark 1. Some discrepancy is found in between the papers of Gadamsi-Mahto²³ and Eastham-Gould²⁴. In Theorem 3^{23} the authors tried to apply the techniques of Titchmarsh¹¹ and Everitt²⁰ in proving the system (1.3) to be in the limit-2 case at infinity; the conditions taken there were

(i)
$$0 < p, r \le k x^{\beta}$$
 (ii) $q_1, q_3 \ge -k_1 x^{\alpha}, q_2 \ge -k_2 x^{\gamma}$

with $\alpha + \beta \le 2$, $\alpha \ge 0$, $\beta - \alpha \le 2 \gamma \le \alpha$ and k, k₁, k₂ all positive finite constants; whereas in Theorem 1 (ii), §, 3²⁴ it was proved that the system (1.3), (with p=r=1) is in the limit-3 case at infinity provided

$$q_1 = a |q_2|, q_3 = b |q_2|, a \ge 0, b \ge 0, ab < 1$$

where q_2 be no where zero in some interval $[X, \infty), X \ge 0$ and $q_2^{-1/4} (q_2^{-1/4})'' \in L^2(X, \infty)$.

As for an example, if we take p = r = i and $q_1 = q_3 = 1/2 x^3$, $q_2 = x^3$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, then following Eastham-Gould²⁴ the system (1.3) turns out to be in the limit-3 case at infinity though the coefficients p, r, q_1 , q_2 , q_3 satisfy the conditions of Gadamsi-Mahto²³.

Remark 2. It appears from the previous results (except Gadamsi-Mahto²³) especially Anderson⁸, Th.2.4 and the theorem of the present paper that for a system of the type (1.3), belonging to the limit-2 case at infinity, q_3 should satisfy

$$|q_2(x)| \leq K N(x)$$

along with other restrictions on q_1 and q_3 .

Acknowledgements

The present result is a part of the work connected with a minor research project [Code No. 13337] supported by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, The author is indebted to Dr. (Mrs.) Jyoti Das, Sir Asutosh Birth Centenary Professor for Higher Mathematics, Department of Pure Mathematics, Calcutta University for many helpful discussions. He also thanks the referee for extremely valuable suggestions.

THE LIMIT-2 CASE

| 1. CHAKRAVARTY, N K. | Some problems in eigenfunction expansions IV, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 1970, 1, 347-353. |
|--|--|
| 2 SENGUPTA, P.K | On a self-adjoint extention of a type of a matrix differential operator, J. Indian Inst. Sci., 1978, 60(B), 225-234. |
| 3. NAIMARK, M A. | Linear differential operators, Part II, Harrap, London, 1968. |
| 4. GLAZMAN, I.M. | Direct methods for the qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators (Eng. trans.), Israel Programme for Sci. Trans, Jerusalem, 1965. |
| 5. Lidskii, V.B. | On the number of quadratically integrable solutions of the system of differential equations $-Y^n + P(t) Y = \lambda Y$, Doklad Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1954, 95, 217-220 (Russian). |
| 6. SEARS, D.B. | Note on the uniqueness of the Green's functions associated with certain differential equations, Can. J. Math. 1950, 2, 314-325, |
| 7. CHAKRAVARTY, N.K. | Some problems in eigenfunction expansion III, Q.J. Math. Oxford (2), 1968, 19, 397-415. |
| 8. ANDERSON, R.L. | Limit-point and limit-circle criteria for a class of singular symmetric differential operator, Can. J. Math., 1976, 28, 905-914. |
| 9. HINTON, D. | Limit point criteria for differential equations, Can J. Math., 1972, 24, 293-305. |
| 10. Titchmarsh, E.C. | Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations, Part I, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, London, 1962. |
| 11. BHAGAT, B. AND GUMA, S. | Deficiency indices of second-order matrix differential operator, <i>Indian J. Pure Appl.</i> Math. 1982, 13 , 433-439. |
| 12. EASTHAM, M.S.P. | The deficiency index of a second-order differential system, J. Lond. Math, Soc. (2), 1981, 16, 311-320. |
| 13 Titchmarsh, E.C. | On the uniqueness of the Green's function associated with a second-order differen- tial equation, Can. J. Math., 1950, 1, 191-198. |
| 14. Shaw, S. and Bhagat, B. | On a second-order matrix differential operator, Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci., 1974, 79A , 213-222. |
| 15. SENGUPTA, P.K. | Integrable-square solutions of certain vector matrix differential equation, J. Indian Inst. Sci., 1978, 60(B) , 235-245. |
| 16. SENGUPTA, P.K. | L ² -classification of vector-matrix differential equation, <i>J. Pure Math.</i> 1981. 1, 67-72. |
| 17. EASTHAM, M.S.P. | The limit-2 case of fourth-order differential equations, Q. J. Math. Oxford(2), 1971, 22, 131-134. |
| 18. Everitt, W.N. | Singular differential equations II; Some self-adjoint even order case. Q. J. Math. Oxford(2), 1967, 18, 13-22. |
| 19. Everitt, W.N. | Some positive definite differential operators, J. Lond. Math Soc. (2), 1968, 43, 465-473. |
| 20. Everitt, W.N. | On the limit-point classification of fourth-order differential operators, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 1969, 44, 273-281. |
| 21. LEVINSON, N. | Criteria for the limit-point case for 2nd-order linear differential operators, Chaso- pis Pchst Math. Fys., 1949, 74, 17-20. |
| 22. CODDINGTON, E.A. AND LEVINSON, N. | Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGrawi-Hill, N.Y., 1955. |

PRABIR KUMAR SENGUPTA

- GADAMSI, A.M. AND MARTO, K.R.
 On the limit-2 case of second-order matrix differential equations, Indian J. Pure MARTO, K.R.
- 24 EASTHAM, M.S.P. AND Square-integrable solutions of a matrix differential expression, J Math. Anal. GOULD, K.J. Appl. 1983, 91, 424-433.