

On μ -separated sets and density of sets in uniform space

P.C. BHAKTA AND SWAPNA KUNDU

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 700 032, India.

Received on April 19, 1984.

Abstract

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space and μ be an outer measure on the power set of the set X satisfying certain axioms. We introduced the definitions of μ -separated sets and density of sets with respect to the measure μ . We have shown that almost all the results on μ -separated sets and density of sets in R^n or metric space or topological group can be extended in uniform space.

Key words: μ -separated sets, density of sets, σ -finiteness, Vitali's axiom, outer regularity.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we have extended the notions of μ -separated sets and density of a set with respect to an outer measure μ defined on the power set of the set X in the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) . Lahiri¹ extended these notions to topological groups for an invariant measure. These notions have been extended to metric space², to measure space³ and to Romanovski space^{4,5}. In these cases, extensive use has been made of Vitali type Theorem⁶ and of regularity condition in some cases. In our case, we have assumed that μ is σ -finite and it satisfies outer regularity axiom (see 2.7) and Vitali axiom (see 2.6). In section 5, we have constructed an outer measure on a metric space satisfying the axioms (iii), (iv) and (v) of 2.8.

2. Preliminary definitions and assumptions

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space and let μ be an outer measure on the power set of the set X . We denote by ν a fixed collection of symmetric members of \mathcal{U} which are open in the product space $X \times X$ such that ν forms a base for the uniformity \mathcal{U} .

2.1 Definition: Let A be a subset of X . If $A \times A \subset V$ for some V in ν , we say that diameter of A is less than V and write $\delta(A) < V$.

2.2. Definition: Let $\{A_n : n \in D, \geq\}$ be a net of subsets of X . If for every V in ν , there is an element n_0 in D such that $\delta(A_n) < V$ for all n in D with $n \geq n_0$, we say that diameters of A_n tend to zero and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow 0$.

2.3. *Definition:* A net $\{E_n: n \in D, \geq\}$ of subsets of X is said to converge to the point $\xi \in X$ if $\xi \in \bigcap \{E_n: n \in D\}$ and $\delta(E_n) \rightarrow 0$.

2.4. *Definition:* For $V \in \nu$ and $x \in X$, let

$$S(x, V) = \{y: y \in X \text{ and } (x, y) \in V\}$$

$$\bar{S}(x, V) = \{y: y \in X \text{ and } (x, y) \in \bar{V}\}$$

$S(x, V)$ and $\bar{S}(x, V)$ are called open and closed balls respectively with x as centre and radius V . We also write $V[x]$ and $\bar{V}[x]$ for $S(x, V)$ and $\bar{S}(x, V)$ respectively. It may be noted that $\bar{V}[x]$ need not be the closure of $V[x]$.

2.5. *Definition:* Let E be a subset of X and \mathcal{F} be a family of closed balls in X . We say that the family \mathcal{F} covers the set E in the sense of Vitali if for every point $x \in E$ there is a net of closed balls in \mathcal{F} converging to x .

2.6. *Vitali axiom:* If a family \mathcal{F} of closed balls in X is a Vitali converging of a set $E \subset X$ with $\mu E < +\infty$, then for every positive number ϵ , there exists a countable family of pairwise disjoint closed balls $\{F_i\}$ in \mathcal{F} such that

$$\sum_i \mu F_i < \mu E + \epsilon \text{ and } \mu(E \setminus \bigcup_i F_i) = 0.$$

2.7. *Outer regularity axiom:* For every set $E \subset X$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $G \supset E$ such that $\mu G \leq \mu E + \epsilon$.

2.8. *Conventions and assumptions:*

- (i) Sets under consideration are subsets of X unless otherwise stated.
- (ii) \bar{A} means the complement of the set A in X .
- (iii) Outer measure μ is σ -finite and satisfies Vitali axiom and outer regularity axiom.
- (iv) Every open set in X is μ -measurable.
- (v) For every x in X there is a member V in ν such that $\mu \bar{V}[x] < +\infty$.

From Vitali axiom the following result may be deduced which we call Vitali Theorem.

2.9. *Vitali Theorem:* Let E be a subset of X with $\mu E < +\infty$ and let \mathcal{F} be a family of closed balls in X which covers the set E in the sense of Vitali. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite family of pairwise disjoint closed balls $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E \cap F_i) > \mu E - \epsilon \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \mu F_i < \mu E + \epsilon.$$

3. μ -separated sets

3.1. *Definition:* Two sets E_1 and E_2 are said to be μ -separated if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist open sets G_1, G_2 such that $E_1 \subset G_1, E_2 \subset G_2$ and $\mu(G_1 \cap G_2) < \epsilon$.

From the above definition we see that if E_1 and E_2 are μ -separated, then any subset of E_1 is μ -separated from any subset of E_2 .

3.2. *Lemma:* For any set E , there exists a μ -measurable set $A \supset E$ such that $\mu A = \mu E$. The set A is called a μ -measurable cover of E .

3.3. *Lemma:* Let E be any μ -measurable set and let ϵ be any positive number. Then there exists an open set $G \supset E$ such that $\mu(G|E) < \epsilon$.

3.4. *Theorem:* A set E is μ -measurable if and only if E and \tilde{E} are μ -separated.

3.5. *Corollary:* Let S be a μ -measurable set and $A \subset S$, $B = S/A$. If A and B are μ -separated, then A and B are μ -measurable.

3.6. *Theorem:* If the sets E_1 and E_2 are μ -separated, then $\mu(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mu E_1 + \mu E_2$.

3.7. *Theorem:* Let E_1 and E_2 be any two sets with μE_1 and μE_2 finite. If $\mu(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mu E_1 + \mu E_2$, then E_1 and E_2 are μ -separated.

The above results can be proved in the usual way. For the proofs of the results of this section, Vitali axiom is not necessary.

4. Density of sets

4.1. *Definition:* Let $E \subset X$, $\xi \in X$ and $V \in \nu$

Write $\Delta(\xi, V) = \{ \bar{W}[\xi]: W \in \nu \text{ and } W \subset V \}$,

$$\Delta(\xi) = \{ \bar{W}[\xi]: W \in \nu \}.$$

$$\text{Let } D^*(E, \xi; V) = \text{Sup} \left\{ \frac{\mu(E \cap F)}{\mu F} : F \in \Delta(\xi, V) \right\},$$

$$D_*(E, \xi; V) = \text{inf} \left\{ \frac{\mu(E \cap F)}{\mu F} : F \in \Delta(\xi, V) \right\}.$$

$$[\text{If } \mu F = 0, \text{ we take } \frac{\mu(E \cap F)}{\mu F} = 0]$$

$$D^*(E, \xi) = \text{inf} \{ D^*(E, \xi; V) : V \in \nu \},$$

$$D_*(E, \xi) = \text{Sup} \{ D_*(E, \xi; V) : V \in \nu \}.$$

$D^*(E, \xi)$ and $D_*(E, \xi)$ are called upper and lower densities of E at ξ . If $D^*(E, \xi) = D_*(E, \xi)$, the common value is denoted by $D(E, \xi)$ and is called the density of E at ξ . If $D(E, \xi) = 1$, we call ξ a density point of E and if $D(E, \xi) = 0$, ξ is called a dispersion point of E .

For U and V in ν , let us define $U \geq V$ is $U \subset V$. It is easy to see that ν (\geq) becomes a directed set. For a member V_0 in ν we write

$$\nu(V_0) = \{ V : V \in \nu \text{ and } V \subset V_0 \}.$$

4.2. *Lemma:* Let E be a set and $\xi \in E$ and λ be a positive number. If $D_*(E, \xi) < \lambda$, then there exists a $V_0 \in \nu$ and a net $\{F_\nu: \nu \in \nu(V_0)\}$ of closed balls with ξ as centre and converging to ξ such that

$$\frac{\mu(E \cap F_\nu)}{\mu F_\nu} < \lambda \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \nu(V_0).$$

4.3. *Lemma:* Let E be a set and $\xi \in E$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. If $D^*(E, \xi) > \lambda$, there exists a $V_0 \in \nu$ and a net $\{F_\nu: \nu \in \nu(V_0)\}$ of closed balls with ξ as centre and converging to ξ such that

$$\frac{\mu(E \cap F_\nu)}{\mu F_\nu} > \lambda \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \nu(V_0).$$

The proofs of the Lemmas are easy and omitted.

4.4. *Theorem:* Almost all points of a set E are density points of E .

Proof: First suppose that $\mu E < +\infty$.

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers converging on 1. For each positive integer n , let A_n denote the set of points of E where the lower density of E is less than λ_n . Take any positive integer n and consider the set A_n . If $x \in A_n$, then by Lemma 4.2, there exists a net $\{F_\nu: \nu \in \nu(V_0)\}$ of closed balls with centre at x and converging on x such that for all $\nu \in \nu(V_0)$

$$\frac{\mu(E \cap F_\nu)}{\mu F_\nu} < \lambda_n \quad (1)$$

Let \mathcal{F} denote the family of all closed balls $\{F_\nu\}$ thus associated with the points of the set A_n . Then the family \mathcal{F} covers the set A_n in the sense of Vitali. Choose any $\epsilon > 0$. By Vitali Theorem there exists a finite family of pairwise disjoint closed balls $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_n \cap F_i) > \mu A_n - \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \mu F_i < \mu A_n + \epsilon \quad (2)$$

Using the relations (1) and (2), we get

$$\mu A_n - \epsilon < \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_n \cap F_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(E \cap F_i)$$

$$< \lambda_n \sum_{i=1}^N \mu F_i < \lambda_n (\mu A_n + \epsilon).$$

$$\text{or } (1 - \lambda_n) \mu A_n < (1 + \lambda_n) \epsilon$$

$$\text{or } \mu A_n < \left(\frac{1 + \lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_n}\right) \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $\mu A_n = 0$. Now, let A denote the set of all points of E where the lower density of E is less than unity. The $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. So $\mu A = 0$.

The general case can be proved using the σ -finiteness of the measure μ .

4.5. Theorem: If the sets E_1 and E_2 are μ -separated, then at almost all points of one set the density of the other is zero.

4.6. Theorem: Let E_1 and E_2 be any two sets. If at almost all points of E_1 the density of E_2 is zero, then E_1 and E_2 are μ -separated.

Proceeding as above and using the Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, the results can be proved.

Let A and B be any two sets. We denote by $A_B[B_A]$ the part of $A[B]$ where the upper density of $B[A]$ is positive.

4.7. Theorem: Suppose that the sets A and B are not μ -separated. Then the sets A_B and B_A have positive outer measures; also no part of A_B with positive outer measure is μ -separated from B_A and no part of B_A with positive outer measure is μ -separated from A_B .

The result can be proved in the usual way.

4.8. Theorem: Let F be any closed ball.

$$\text{Then } \mu(A_B \cap F) = \mu(B_A \cap F) = \mu[(A_B \cup B_A) \cap F] \quad (3)$$

Proof: If A and B are μ -separated, then by Theorem 4.5, $\mu A_B = 0$ and $\mu B_A = 0$ and (3) follows.

Suppose that A and B are not μ -separated.

Write $A_0 = A_B \cap F$, $B_0 = B_A \cap F$ and $C_0 = (A_B \cup B_A) \cap F$.

We have $\mu A_0 \leq \mu C_0$ and $\mu B_0 \leq \mu C_0$.

Assume that $\mu A_0 < \mu C_0$. Let Δ be any open ball containing F . By outer regularity of μ we can choose an open set $G \subset \Delta$ such that $A_0 \subset G$ and $\mu G < \mu C_0$.

Let $E = \Delta / G$. Then E and G are μ -separated. So, same is true for the sets $E \cap C_0$ and $G \cap A_B$. Again, $E \cap C_0 \subset F$ and $E \cap A_B \subset \Delta / F$; so the sets $E \cap C_0$ and $E \cap A_B$ are μ -separated. Hence, $E \cap C_0$ is μ -separated from the set $(G \cap A_B) \cup (E \cap A_B) = \Delta \cap A_B$. The sets Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ are μ -separated; hence, so are the sets $E \cap C_0$ and $\bar{\Delta} \cap A_B$. Therefore $E \cap C_0$ is μ -separated from $(\Delta \cap A_B) \cup (\bar{\Delta} \cap A_B) = A_B$. Clearly $E \cap C_0 \subset A_B \cup B_A$ and $\mu(E \cap C_0) > 0$.

Write $E_1 = E \cap C_0 \cap A_B$ and $E_2 = E \cap C_0 \cap B_A$. Then $E_1 \cup E_2 = E \cap C_0$. So either $\mu E_1 > 0$ or $\mu E_2 > 0$ or both.

Let $\mu E_1 > 0$. Since $E_1 \subset A_B$, by Theorem 4.4, at almost all points for E_1 , the density of A_B is unity. This contradicts Theorem 4.5. If $\mu E_2 > 0$, then it contradicts Theorem 4.7. Thus in any case we arrive at a contradiction. So $\mu A_0 = \mu C_0$.

Similarly, we can show that $\mu B_0 = \mu C_0$.

4.9. Corollary: Suppose that the sets A and B are not μ -separated. Then at almost all points of the set $A_B[B_A]$ the density of $B_A[A_B]$ is unity.

4.10. *Theorem:* Let E be any set. Then E has density either zero or unity almost everywhere.

4.11. *Theorem:* Let A be any set. If A contains almost all its points of density, then A is μ -measurable.

The above results can be proved in the usual way using the previous results.

4.12. *Theorem:* Let A and B be two sets. Suppose that at each point of B the density of A is unity. Then at any point $\alpha \in X$,

$$D^*(A, \alpha) \geq D^*(B, \alpha) \text{ and } D_*(A, \alpha) \geq D_*(B, \alpha).$$

Proof: We prove the result by the following steps.

(I) Let E denote a measurable cover of A . Write $B_1 = B \cap E$ and $B_2 = B \cap \bar{E}$. Since E and \bar{E} are μ -separated, it follows that A is μ -separated from \bar{E} . So A has density zero at almost all points of \bar{E} . This gives that $\mu B_2 = 0$ and so $\mu B = \mu B_1$. Since $B_1 \subset E$, we have $\mu B = \mu B_1 \leq \mu E = \mu A$.

(II). Let G be any open set. $A_0 = G \cap A$ and $B_0 = G \cap B$. We show that

$$\mu B_0 \leq \mu A_0 \tag{4}$$

If B_0 is void, then clearly (4) holds. Let $x \in B_0$.

Then we can choose a member V in \mathcal{V} such that

$$\mu \bar{V}[x] < +\infty \text{ and } V[x] \subset G.$$

Let F be any closed ball with x as centre and $F \subset V[x]$.

Then $A_0 \cap F = A \cap G \cap F = A \cap F$. So,

$$\frac{\mu(A_0 \cap F)}{\mu F} = \frac{\mu(A \cap F)}{\mu F}$$

This gives that A_0 has unit density at each point of B_0 . So by step (I) we have

$$\mu B_0 = \mu A_0$$

(III) Let $\alpha \in X$ and let V be any member of \mathcal{V} with $\mu \bar{V}[\alpha] < +\infty$. Take any closed ball F with α as centre and $F \subset V[\alpha]$.

For each positive integer n , we can choose an open set $G_n \supset F$ such that $\mu G_n < \mu F + 1/n$. We can choose the sets G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots such that $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset G_3 \supset \dots$. Let $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$. Then $F \subset E \subset G_n$. So $\mu F \leq \mu E \leq \mu G_n < \mu F + 1/n$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\varinjlim \mu G_n = \mu E = \mu F.$$

This gives that

$$\mu(A \cap F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(G_n \cap A)$$

$$\text{and } \mu(B \cap F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(G_n \cap B)$$

By step (II), $\mu(G_n \cap B) \leq \mu(G_n \cap A)$ for each n .

$$\text{So, } \mu(B \cap F) \leq \mu(A \cap F)$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\mu(B \cap F)}{\mu F} \leq \frac{\mu(A \cap F)}{\mu F}$$

This gives that

$$D^*(A, \alpha) \geq D^*(B, \alpha) \text{ and } D_*(A, \alpha) \geq D_*(B, \alpha).$$

5. Construction of an outer measure on a metric space which is σ -finite, outer regular and satisfies Vitali's axiom

Let (X, d) be a metric space such that every closed ball in X is connected. For any positive number r , let $W_r = \{(x, y) : x, y \in X \text{ and } d(x, y) < r\}$ and let $v = \{W_r : r > 0\}$. Denote by \mathcal{U} the collection of all subsets of $X \times X$ such that if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $W_r \subset U$ for some $r > 0$. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{U} is a uniformity on X and v is a base for \mathcal{U} .

Since for each $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, $W_r[x] = \{y : y \in X \text{ and } d(x, y) < r\} = B(x, r)$ an open ball in X with x as centre and radius r , it follows that the topology induced by the uniformity \mathcal{U} on X is identical with the topology induced by the metric d . Clearly each member of v is symmetric and open in the product space $X \times X$. Thus the open and closed balls in the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) are same as those in the metric space (X, d) .

Denote by \mathcal{C} the collection of all countable families of pairwise disjoint closed balls in X .

Let the function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following axiom.

(A₁): ϕ is strictly increasing, continuous and $\phi(0) = 0$.

For any closed ball F with radius r we define $\lambda(F) = \phi(r)$. For any family $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ we write $(\mathcal{F}) = \sum \{\lambda(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Now, for any non-void open set G we define

$$\lambda(G) = \sup \{\lambda(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } U\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset G\}.$$

Clearly $\lambda(G) > 0$. We also define $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$.

We assume that the function λ satisfies the following axioms.

- (A₂): If F_0 is a closed ball, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ is finite and $\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \text{int}(F_0)$, then $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) < \lambda(F_0)$.
- (A₃): If F is a closed ball and $\{G_n\}$ is a countable family of open sets with $F \subset \cup_n G_n$, then $\lambda(F) \leq \sum_n \lambda(G_n)$.
- (A₄): There is a positive number M such that $\lambda(\hat{F}) \leq M\lambda(F)$ for all closed balls F in X , where \hat{F} denotes the closed balls concentric with F and with radius five times that of F .

We now define the mapping $\mu: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as follows:

Let E be any subset of X . We define

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \lambda(G) : G \text{ is open and } E \subset G \}.$$

We can verify the following:

- (i) The mapping μ is an outer measure and $\mu G = \lambda G$ for any open set G and $\mu F = \lambda F$ for any closed ball F .
- (ii) μ is a metric outer measure and so every open set is μ -measurable. Further μ is σ -finite and outer regular.
- (iii) The outer measure μ satisfies Vitali's axiom.

References

1. LAHIRI, B.K. Density and approximate continuity in topological groups, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 1977, **41**, 129.
2. EAMES, W. A local property of measurable sets, *Can. J. Math.*, 1960, **12**, 632.
3. MARTIN, N.F.G. A topological property of certain measure spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 1964, **112**, 1.
4. SOLOMON, D.W. On separation in measure and metric density in Romanovski spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 1969, **36**, 81.
5. ROMANOVSKI, P. Integrale de Denjoy dans les espaces abstraits, *Math. Sbornik.* 1941, **9**(51), 67.
6. COMFORT, W.W. AND GORDON, H. Vitali's Theorem for invariant measure, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1961, **99**, 88.