J. Indian Inst. Sci., 66, Aug. 1986, pp 547-548 Sindian Institute of Science, Printed in India.

Short Communication

## Separation and limit-classification of special fourthorder differential expression

JYOTI DAS AND JAYASRI SETT Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, Calcutta 700 019.

Received on April 29, 1986.

Abstract

Two examples have been presented which show that there exist some p, q for which M[y] = -(py')' + qy is separated and  $M^2[y]$  is in the limit-2 case at infinity and there exist some p, q for which M[y] is separated but  $M^2[y]$  is in the limit-3 case at infinity.

Key words: Separation, fourth-order differential expression.

1. Def. I. The differential expression  $M[f] = -(pf^{(1)})^{(1)} + qf$ , where both p and q are real-valued and  $p^{(1)}$  is absolutely continuous on [0, X] for all X > 0, is said to be in the *limit-point* case at infinity if only one solution of  $M[f] = \lambda f$  belongs to  $L^2(0, \infty)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $im \lambda \neq 0[L^2(0, \infty)$  denotes the set of all complex-valued functions f such that  $|f|^2$  is Lebesque integrable on  $(0, \infty)^{1}$ .

Def. 2. Let  $\Delta(p,q) \subset L^2(0,\infty)$  be defined as follows:  $f \in \Delta(p,q)$  if (i)  $f \in L^2(0,\infty)$ , (ii)  $f^{(1)}$  is absolutely continuous locally on  $[0,\infty)$  and (iii)  $M[f] \in L^2(0,\infty)$  is said to be separated if

$$f \in \Delta(p,q) \Longrightarrow (pf^{(1)})^{(1)} \text{ and } qf \in L^2(0,\infty)^{2,3}.$$
(1.1)

It is known [Ch. III] that if  $M[\cdot]$  is separated then  $M[\cdot]$  is in the limit-point case at infinity but not vice versa. That is, there exists  $M[\cdot]$  which is in the limit-point case at infinity but which is not separated [vide §7,5].

We further know<sup>5,6</sup> that if  $M[\cdot]$  is in the limit-point case, then  $M^2[\cdot]$ , where, with suitable p, q.

$$M^{2}[f] = (p^{2}f^{(2)})^{(2)} - ((2pq - pp^{(2)})f^{(1)})^{(1)} + (q^{2} - (pq^{(1)})^{(1)})f^{(1)}$$

may be in the limit-2 or in the limit-3 case at infinity *i.e.*,  $M^2[f] \approx \lambda f$  has exactly two or three linearly independent solutions respectively belonging to  $L^2(0, \infty)$  for any  $\lambda$  with  $im\lambda \neq 0$ .

547

As to be separated' is a stronger condition on  $M[\cdot]$ , than 'to be in the limit-point case' one may hope that a separated  $M[\cdot]$  will generate an  $M^2[\cdot]$  which can be definitely qualified as to be in the limit-2 or in the limit-3 case at infinity. The idea of this present note is to exhibit that the netual situation is different. That is there exist some p, q for which  $M[\cdot]$  is separated and  $M^2[\cdot]$  is in the limit-2 case at infinity. The examples presented here are the following

Ex. 1.  $p(x) = x^3$ , q(x) = 3x,  $x \in \{1, \infty\}$ , Ex. 2.  $p(x) = x^n$ ,  $q(x) = nx^{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 4$  for  $x \in \{1, \infty\}$ .

In both the examples,  $M[\cdot]$  is separated while in Example 1,  $M^2[\cdot]$  is in the limit-2 case at infinity but in Example 2,  $M^2[\cdot]$  is the limit-3 case at infinity. We discuss the above examples in the following section.

2. The fact that in both the above examples  $M[\cdot]$  is separated follows<sup>1</sup> from separation theorem 1, since the coefficients p and q of  $M[\cdot]$  satisfy the conditions of the said theorem.

In Example 1, the solutions of M[y] = 0 are x and  $1/x^3$  which show that  $M[\cdot]$  is in the limit-point case at infinity since  $x \notin L^2(1, \infty)$  and  $1/x^3 \in L^2(1, \infty)$  while the solutions of  $M^2[y] = 0$  for the same p, q are 1, x,  $1/x^3$ ,  $1/x^4$  which show that  $M^2[\cdot]$  is in the limit-2 case at infinity since the solutions 1 and x are not in  $L^2(1, \infty)$  but  $1/x^3$  and  $1/x^4$  are in  $L^2(1, \infty)$ .

In Example 2, the solutions of M[y] = 0 are x and  $x^{-n}(n \ge 4)$  which show that  $M[\cdot]$  is in the limit-point case at infinity since  $x \notin L^2(1, \infty)$  and  $x^{-n} \in L^2(1, \infty)$  for  $n \ge 4$  while the solution: of  $M^2[y] = 0$  for the same p, q are x,  $1/x^n$ ,  $x^{-n+3}$  and  $x^{2-2n}$  of which the solutions  $x \notin L^2(1, \infty)$  but the rest of the solutions are in  $L^2(1, \infty)$  for  $n \ge 4$  showing  $M^2[\cdot]$  is in the lumit-3 case at infinity.

## References

1. KAUFFMAN, R. M.,	The deficiency index problem for powers of ordinary differential expressions,
Read, T. T. and	Lecture Notes in Mathematics 6:21, (Springer-Verlag edited by A. Dold and
Zettl, A.	B. Eckmann), 1977.
2. Everitt, W. N. and	Some properties of the domains of certain differential operators, Proc. Lond.
Giertz, M.	Math. Soc. 1971, 23(3), 301-324
3. Das, J. and Dey, J.	On the separation property of symmetric ordinary second-order differential expressions. <i>Questiones Math.</i> , 1976, 1, 145-154.
4. DEY, J.	Some separation problems of ordinary differential equation, Ph.D. thesis Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, July 1979.
5. Naimark, M. A.	Linear differential operators II. Ungar, New York, 1968.
<ol> <li>Chaudhuri, J. and</li></ol>	On the square of a formally self-adjoint differential expression, J. Lond. Math
Everitt, W. N.	Soc., 1969, 1(2), 661-673.