J. Indian Inst. Sci., 66, Sept.-Oct. 1986, pp. 595-601 8 Indian Institute of Science, Printed in India

Short Communication

# Interaction of cesium with Trombay basalt

Z. A. KHAN, SATYA BRAT AND V. B. GODSE Repository Project Section, Waste Management Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085, Iadia.

Received on February 10, 1986.

#### Abstract

This paper deals with the interaction modes of cesium with Trombay basalt. Column and batch experiments have been summarised, contribution of adsorption and chemisorption towards total uptake has been calculated, the effect of temperature on these parameters and the feasibility of the particular formation in esium immobilisation have been discussed.

Key words: Interaction, uptake, adsorption, chemisorption, distribution coefficients, immobilisation.

#### 1. Introduction

Solidified high level waste in the repositories will pose a serious problem if leached out due to environmental factors. <sup>137</sup>Cs, because of its long half-life and energy, is the prime cause of concern from radioactive waste management point of view, It is imperative to understand its interaction with sub-surface formations of candidate repository/storage site. The studies include two sets of experiments viz. column and batch. Efforts have been made to evaluate the contribution of chemisorption and adsorption in the total uptake of cesium by Trombay basalt.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

An undisturbed basalt core (5.3 cm dia) containing minute cavities filled with zeolite and other secondary minerals was procured from Trombay area. The core was cut into three portions along length. One of 14.2 cm length was used for column studies. From the cavities of the second, zeolite fill was extracted and subjected to TGA, DTA and X-ray analyses. The third was crushed to < 2 mm size. Physico-chemical characteristics like chemical analyses and identification of mineralogical constituents indicated the presence of illite, feldspar and quartz (Table 1).  $10^{-2}$  M conc. solution of Cs<sup>+</sup> was prepared by dissolving A.R. grade CsCl in distilled water. Its pH was adjusted to  $\approx 7.0$  and was tagged with <sup>137</sup>Cs.

	Chemical Analyses Corp.		
Source Statistic characteristic and the second statistics			
Core			
Effective perosity	<ul> <li>32.5</li> </ul>	SIC	48/10
Void ratio	$(7.87 \times 10^{-1})$	ALC)	14.75
Surface area	$0.34 \times 10^{-6} m^+ v$	100	4.45
Unished Jusuit			
foral soluble saits	, 0.11°a	10.01	5.05
CiC	0.812 meg. e	CaC1	10.62
Surface area (~-2 mm si	es: 191 mile	MeO	5 (51)
Surface charge density	0.268 meg at	144.	1 20
pH	2.00	MnO .	044
		Nad	2.33
		5.0	0.49
		P.O	11.21
		Lossion	5.71
		ay an an an	
		11.()	1 343

#### Table I Physico-chemical characteristics of basalt

### 2.2. Methods

Column studies: In a specially designed glass column, the basalt core was mounted over glass wool and glass beads. Inlet and outlet of the column were connected to a glass reservoir through a sigma pump with the help of teilon tubing. Cesium solution was filled in the reservoir and leak proof-closed system was made. The solution was passed through the column at constant rate ( = one ml/minute) continuously for about 20 days. Upward flow was followed to have proper interaction of the solution with the core. One mlofthe sample of the circulating solutions was periodically drawn from near the top of the core. It may be mentioned here that the pH of the effluent solution was nearly constant  $(\pm 0.2)$ during the column studies. The activity of 10°Cs in each sample was determined by counting with well type NaI(T1) gamma scintillation detector attached to a single channel analyzer. The percentage uptake of the feed, rate of uptake µg/g/h for the intervals of sampling and Kd (Cs) per g of basalt/Cs; per volume of solution) were calculated. After complete saturation, the core was taken out, dried at room temperature and mounted on a similar second column system. Desorption studies were carried out by circulating rain water (pH = 4.65, Na  $^{\circ}$  = 4 to 5 and Ca<sup>++</sup> = 2 to 3 ppm) till complete desorption. As there was no ground water at the site, rain water, which is a source of ground water recharge, was used. Desorption percentages with respect to total feed, sorbed Cs\* and distribution coefficient were calculated by sampling, counting and calculating as in the previous case.

*Batch studies:* These studies were carried out in 14 numbers of marked 250 ml PVC bottles. Ten grams of crushed basalt (-200 + 240 B.S. mesh size) and 100 ml of cesium solution were added to each. The bottles were tightly closed with the help of inner lids

 $\gamma \Theta n$ 

and screwed caps. Two sets of seven bottles each were kept at a constant temperature (333 K) in water bath and at room temperature (303 K). The bottles were intermittently shaken. After two hours from the start of the interaction, one bottle from each of the sets was taken out, and centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m. for 15 minutes and solid and liquid phases were separated. Cs<sup>+</sup> concentration in the liquid was determined by counting one ml of the samples as in column studies. Similarly the uptake was tadied for 4, 6, 10, 16, 24, 26 and 28 hours of contact times by drawing one bottle from each of the sets. For the desorption studies, 100 ml of distilled water was added to each bottle containing the contaminated basalt samples. The treatment was repeated as in the uptake case. Care was taken to have equal time of uptake and desorption for the respective samples. Cs<sup>+</sup> content of liquid samples was determined. Uptake, desorption, retention with respect to the total feed and Kd values<sup>§</sup> were calculated.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Column studies

The uptake and desorption data are graphically presented in figs 1 and 2. The high initial uptake rate per hour is due to effective porosity of the core and is mainly a reflection of the physical state. Once the core is somewhat saturated with the solution, the uptake is due to ion exchange and absorption. The rate of uptake goes on decreasing with the passage of time, with few exceptions as between 182.0 and 200.5, 290.5 and 352.0 and 383.0 and 408.5 hours. This is due to cavitation in the core resulting in delayed diffusion<sup>1</sup>. Uptake by total mass of rock as well as Kd increases until 408.5 hours, but desorption starts thereafter and negative values of uptake rate and decrease in percentage uptake and Kd values are obtained.

High desorption rate in the first 19 hours is also due to effective porosity. Rain water, more or less, expels the Cs<sup>+</sup> solutions from the pore space. From 19 to 122 hours desorption rates gradually decrease. Between 122 and 170 hours there is an increase in desorption rate which may be attributed due to delayed diffusion of Cs<sup>+</sup> through the zeolite cavities imbedded in the mass of the core. The same effect is reflected on Kd values. All through up to 170 hours there is a steady increase in percentage desorption. Thereafter redesorption starts, although the rate is very low and tends to be around zerc To sum up, we may say that ~ 40% of the initial Cs<sup>+</sup> feed is taken up by the rock. ~ 17% of which is leached out on desorption. Thus contribution of the adsorption towards total uptake may be 17% and the rest is effect of chemisorption.

#### 3.2. Batch studies

Kd values are presented in Table II, and the rest of the data are graphically plotted in figs 3 and 4. Initial low value of Kd in all the four cases is due to slow diffusion. Time, temperature and concentration dependence of Kd are evident from this table. From

\*Kd (distribution coefficient) = (Amount of activity/g of the solid)/(Amount of activity/ml of the solution at equilibrium).



Fig. 1. Interaction of cesium with basalt core,  $\beta = -\beta \beta$  Kd (mb(g);  $\beta = -\beta \beta$  uptake % of the feed;  $\beta = -\beta \beta$  uptake  $\mu g/g/h$ .



FIG. 2. Descrption of cesium from contaminated basalt core.  $\bigcirc$  Descrption  $\mu g/g/h$ :  $\square$  Descrption % g:  $\triangle$  —  $\triangle$  Kd.

#### Table II Interaction of Cs<sup>+</sup> with Trombay basalt Cs<sup>+</sup> concentration = $10^{-2}$ M Solid/liquid = 1/10

Time of contact (hours)	Kd (ml/g) at				
	303 K		333 K		
	Uptake	Desorption	Uptake	Desorption	
2	5.5	38.5	7.4	33.7	
4	9.5	60.7	7.4	25.5	
10	10.0	61.4	8.5	30.0	
16	10.1	63.7	8.2	33.9	
24	11.1	61.5	9.3	34.9	
26	10.3	53.2	8.0	28.8	
28	10.3	50.9	9.8	34.1	

figs 3 and 4, it is noted that, with the passage of time, there is an increase in total uptake but the retention is effectively controlled by desorption. With the rise in temperature, total uptake decreases and there is an increase in desorption resulting in low retention. This indicates the effect of adsorption, which is possibly due to hydrated ionic size and potential<sup>2-4</sup> of Cs<sup>+</sup> and surface charge density<sup>5</sup> of the crushed basalt. Thus, less and less of Cs<sup>+</sup> will be retained with the rise in temperature. Therefore, Cs<sup>+</sup> will not be fully immobilised. The circulating incoming groundwater in basaltic formation will considerably leach it, more so with the rise in temperature. This may lead to apparent possibility of Cs<sup>+</sup> release into the biosphere. The column studies are the simulation of actual field conditions. Kd values determined here can be utilised for calculating the rate of Cs<sup>+</sup> movement by using the following equation<sup>6</sup>:

 $V_i = V_w / 1 + \mathrm{Kd}\rho b / \eta$ 

where  $V_i = \text{Velocity of the ionic species (Cs^+)}$ ;  $V_w = \text{Velocity of the circulating ground-water}$ ;  $\rho_b = \text{Bulk density of the media (basalt)}$ ;  $\eta = \text{Porosity of the media (basalt)}$ .

The rate of groundwater movement in the formation under discussion as reported by Ramachandran *et al*<sup>7</sup> is not only very low but changes seasonally. This gives very low value to  $V_i$ . Because of the availability of larger surface area through cracks and crevices, the Kd values are likely to increase. Moreover, Kd is concentration dependent, and hence increases with the decrease in concentration<sup>8</sup>. The relationship is not linear because of nonlinearity between concentration and ionic activity<sup>9</sup>. All these factors give a very low value of  $V_i$  thus giving considerable time for Cs<sup>+</sup> retardation before releasing it into the environment.



Fig. 3. Interaction of exium with erashed basalt ● · · • ● Uptake at 303 K; ▲ · · · · · ▲ Desorption at 303 K; ■ · · · · · · · · ② Desorption at 303 K; □ · · · · · · · ③ Retention at 303 K; □ · · · · · · · ③ Desorption at 333 K; □ · · · · · · · ③



Fig. 4. Interaction of cosmu with crushed basalt. Group uptake:  $\Delta - - \Delta$  desorption:  $\Box - - - \Delta$  desorption:

#### 4. Conclusion

The results suggest that the uptake of  $Cs^+$  is considerably governed by adsorption. The values of desorption were found to be more at 333 K compared to at 303 K. A good part of  $Cs^+$  is not firmly immobilised in basalt but due to hydrological conditions there is least possibility of any spread of contamination.

#### Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Mr. N. S. Sunder Rajan, Head, Waste Management Division for his active interest in this work. Further thanks are due to Mr. A. M. Manjrekar of our Section for help in the experimental work.

600

## INTERACTION OF CESIUM WITH BASALT

#### References

ļ	, ANDERSSON, K., TORSTENEELE B. AND Allard, B.	IAFA-SM-257-20, Vicana, 1982, pp. 111-131.
2	, Zahir Ahmad Kilan	Some aspects of groundwater chemistry, M.Sc., Thesis, Bombay University, 1981, pp. 87-489.
3	. Rankama, K. and Sahama, Til. G.	Geochemistry, Univ. of Chicago Press, 1950, 911.
4.	HANDA, B. K.	Technical Manual No. 2, May 1975, 132, Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation, Government of India.
5.	Goulding, K. W. and Talibudeen, O.	J. Soil Sci., 1984, 35, 397-420.
6.	STANLEY N. DAVIS AND ROGER J. M. DEWIEST.	Hydrogeology, Wiley, 1970, 127-155.
7.	Ramachandran, V., Khan, Z. A., Pawar, V. M. and Godse, V. B.	Groundwater movement studies by single well dilution technique using active and inactive tracers at radioactive waste management sites – Trombay and Narora in U.P., National Symposium, LI.T., Kanpur, 1976, B 11-11-13.
8.	Reardon, E. J.	Ground Wat., 1981, 19, 279-286.
	HINRICH L. BOHN, BRIAN L. MCNEAL AND GEORGE A. O'CONNER,	Soil chemistry, Wiley, 1979, 28-30.