# ĆONVERGENCE OF TYPÉ DISTRIBUTION IN A GENERAL GROWTH MODEL

# K. B. ATHREYA AND K. RAMAMURTHY

(Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012)

Received on July 26, 1975, and in revised form on December 18, 1975

#### ABSTRACT

Athreya and Kaplan proved the convergence of the age distribution in a supercritical one-dimensional Bellman-Harris process. In this paper the techniques of that paper are applied to a general growth model introduced by Jagers. The results are also specialized to age-dependent birth and death processes.

Key words : Age-dependent branching process, type-distribution, Jagers' model, birth and death process convergence.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1] Athreya and Kaplan established the convergence of the age distribution in a supercritical one dimensional Bellman-Harris process under fairly general conditions. The techniques of that paper are amenable to a great degree of generalization and in this paper, we apply them to prove a corresponding result about a general growth model introduced by Jagers [5]. We also specialize our results to the age-dependent birth and death process.

## 2. The Model

The distinguishing feature in Jagers' model is that the offspring production does not have to wait till the death of the parent as in Bellman-Harris processes. Jagers postulates that to every individual x entering the processes there is an associated pair of objects  $(\lambda_x, \mu_x)$  where  $\lambda_x$  is a non-negative random variable denoting the life time of the individual and  $\mu_x$  a point process on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\mu_x[\lambda_x, \infty) = 0$  with probability one. It is assumed that  $\mu_x (0, \lambda_x) < \infty$  w.p.l. It is not assumed that  $\lambda_x$  and  $\mu_x$  are independent. Finally, it is assumed that the pairs  $(\lambda_x, \mu_x)$  as x varies over all the individuals are mutally independent. The rigorous construction of such a process along the lines of Harris' family histories treatment [4] is done by Jagers in [5] and more recently in his book [6].

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

We shall follow the notation in [5].

Let  $Z_t$  denote the total number of particles in the system and  $Y_t = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{z_t})$ denote the 'type' chart at time t where  $\theta_i$  is an element of the type space  $\Theta$ and consists of the present age and history of the associated particle production  $\mu$  upto the present. Thus  $\Theta$ 

A moment's reflection shows that the stochastic process {  $Y_t: t \ge 0$ } is a Markov process with stationary transition probabilities. The state space consists of

 $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta^n / \sim$  where  $\Theta^n$  is the n-fold cartesian product of  $\Theta$  and  $\sim$  denotes the equivalence relation on  $\Theta^n$  equating two vectors if they have the same components.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Theta$  generated by cylinder sets of the form

 $\{ \theta: \theta = \{ a, \mu(v) : v \leq a \}; \\ a \leq r, \mu(A_1) = r_1, \dots, \mu(A_k) = r_k \}$ where r is a +ve number

 $r_i$ 's are+ve integers

and  $A_i$ 's are intervals in [0, a].

Define now the type distribution at time t by

$$A(t, B, \omega) = \frac{1}{\overline{Z}_t} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \chi_B(\theta_i)$$
<sup>(\*)</sup>

where  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{\mathcal{I}_t})$  is the type chart at time t. Clearly,  $A(t, \cdot, \omega)$  is a random probability measure.

We now study the convergence of this measure as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  assuming the following self explanatory conditions : For each individual x,

(A·1) 
$$P \{ \mu_x (\lambda_x) = 0 \} = 0$$
  
(A·2)  $P \{ \lambda_x > 0 \} = 1$ 

(A·3) 
$$E\{u_x(\lambda_x)\} < \infty$$
  
(A·4)  $P\{\mu_x\lambda_x, \infty) = 0\} = 1.$ 

Here  $(\lambda_x, \mu_x(v) : v \ge 0)$  denote the life time variable and the particle production process associated with individual x. Recall the assumption of Jagers that the distributions of  $(\lambda_x, \mu_x(\cdot))$  over all the individuals entering the population are i.i.d.

Our main result is that  $A(t, ..., \omega)$  converges to a deterministic probability measure as  $t \to \infty$  provided some mild regularity conditions are satisfied. Let  $Z(\theta, s, \mathbf{B})$  denote the random variable = number of particles in the set B at time s in a colony starting from one particle of type  $\theta$  at time 0.

Let 
$$M(\theta, s, B) = E\{Z(\theta, s, B)\}.$$

The following integral equation for  $M(\theta, s, B)$  is now immediate from the definition of a Jagers process.

$$M(\theta, s, B) = q(\theta, s) + \int_{0}^{s} M(O, s - u, B) E(d\mu(u + a) | (a, u (v));$$
$$v \leq a)$$
(1)

where  $\theta = (a, \mu(v): v \leq a)$  and

 $q(\theta, s) = P\{$ a type  $\theta$  particle lives beyond time s and its type at time s lies in  $B\}$ 

By specializing (1) to  $\theta = 0$ , we get

$$M(0, S, B) = q(0, s) + \int_{0}^{s} M(0, s - u, B) dE \mu(u).$$
(2)

We have used the convention that when  $\theta = 0$ , the conditional measure given  $\theta$  is the same as the unconditional measure for a brand new particle. Now define the *Malthusian parameter* a by the relation

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} dE u (u) = 1.$$

(Recall the assumptions A.1 – A.4 here. Note that a must statisfy  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ ).

Multiplying both sides of (2) by  $e^{-\alpha s}$  and using standard renewal theory, (see Chapter 4, [2]), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{-aS} \quad M(0, s, B) \equiv \tilde{A}(B)$$

Convergence of Type Distribution in a General Growth Model 105

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q\left(0, u\right) e^{-\alpha u} du}{ue^{-\alpha u} dE_{\mu}\left(u\right)}$$
(3)

Multiplying both sides of (1) by  $e^{-\alpha s}$ , we get

$$|e^{-as} \quad M(\theta, s, B) - \tilde{A}(B) V(\theta)|$$
  

$$\leq e^{-as} + \int_{0}^{s} M(0, s, -u, B) e^{-a(s-u)} - \tilde{A}(B) |e^{-au} dE$$
  

$$\left(u(u+a|(\theta)) + \text{const.} \int_{t-k}^{\infty} e^{-au} dE(\mu(u+a|\theta))\right) \quad (4)$$

where

$$V(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} dE u (u + a \mid \theta)$$
  
$$\theta = (a, u(v) : v \le a)$$

Now let us make the following assumptions in addition to (A.1) - (A.4).

(A.5) 
$$\sup_{\theta} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-av} dE(\mu(a+v) \mid \theta) \right) < \infty$$
  
(A.6) 
$$\sup_{\theta} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-au} dE(\mu(a+v) \mid \theta) \rightarrow 0$$
  
as  $s \longrightarrow \infty$ 

(A.7) For each 
$$0 < s < \infty$$
  

$$\sup_{\theta} E_{\theta} \{ \mu (s+a) - u (a) : u (s+a) - \mu (a) \ge k \} \downarrow 0 \text{ as } k \uparrow \infty$$

where a and  $\theta$  are related as before.

From (4),  $\cdot$  hoosing first k and then s, we can read off the following : Lemma 1: Under assumptions A.1-A.6

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{B}} | e^{-\mathbf{a} S} M(\boldsymbol{\theta}, s, B) - \nabla(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \tilde{A}(B) | \to 0$$
  
as  $s \to \infty$ .  
Fix  $0 < s < \infty$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Consider  
 $X_t = \frac{1}{Z_t} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_t} \{ Z(\theta_i, s, B) - M(\theta_i, s, B) \}$ 

(5)

We now prove the following basic,

Lemma 2: For fixed  $0 < s < \infty$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}, X_t \xrightarrow{p} 0$ 

where  $X_t$  is defined by (5), provided (A.1)-(A.7) hold.

*Proof*: Since the random variables  $Z(\theta, s, B)$  are non-negative and  $\sup_{\theta, B} M(\theta, s, B) < \infty$ , we can employ moment generating functions.

To prove the lemma, it sufficies to show that for each  $\gamma \ge 0$ 

 $E\{\varepsilon^{\gamma x_t}| F_i\} \to 1$  in probability as  $t \to \infty$  where F is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by family histories up to time t.

In view of the assumptions A.1-A.7

$$P\{Z(\theta, s, B) \ge K\} \le P\{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N(\theta)} Z_j(s) \ge K\}$$
(6)

where  $N(\theta)$ ,  $Z_j(s)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$  are independent with  $Z_j$ 's having the same distribution as  $Z(0, s, \theta)$  and  $N(\theta)$  having the distribution  $\mu$   $(s + a) - \mu(a)$  Conditioned on  $\theta$ .

This makes the family {  $Z(\theta, s, B), \theta \in \Theta$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ } uniformly integrable. Let  $F(\gamma, \theta, s, B) = E(e^{-\gamma Z(\theta, s, B)})$ .

By uniform integrability

$$\sup_{\theta, b} \left| \frac{1 - F(\gamma, \theta, s, B)}{\gamma} - M(\theta, s, B) \right| \to 0$$
  
as  $\gamma \downarrow 0$   
and  $\sup_{\theta, b} M(\theta, s, B) < \infty.$ 

Using the fact log (1 - h) = -h + o(h)

as  $h \downarrow 0$ , we conclude that  $E \{e^{-\gamma x_i} \mid F_t\}$   $= \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{Z_i} \frac{\gamma}{Z_t} M(\theta_i s, B) + \log F\left(\frac{\gamma}{Z_t}, \theta_i, s, B\right) \right\}$  $\rightarrow 1$  w.p.1 since  $Z_t \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  w.p.1. g.e.d.

Let us now introduce one further assumption :

(A.8) 
$$\inf_{\theta} V(\theta) > 0.$$

4. The Main result :

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 1: Assume A.1-A.8 hold. Then for any initial distribution with finite number of particles, for each

$$B \in \mathcal{B}, A(t, B \omega) \rightarrow A(B) = \frac{\tilde{A}(B)}{\tilde{A}(\Theta)}$$

where  $A(t, B, \omega)$  and  $\overline{A}(B)$  are respectively as defined by (\*) and (3) in §3.

*Proof*: It sufficies to consider the case of starting with one particle. of type  $\theta_0$  Then by the additivity of the process

$$Z\left(\theta_{0}, t + s, B\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{r}} Z\left(\theta_{i}, s, B\right)$$
Where  $\{\theta_{i}, i = 1, 2, \dots Z_{t}\}$  is the type chart at time  $t$ .  

$$A\left(t + s, B, \omega\right) = \frac{Z\left(\theta_{0}, t + s, B\right)}{Z\left(\theta_{0}, t + s, \Theta\right)}$$

$$\frac{1}{Z_{t}} \times \text{The Numerator} \equiv \left\{\frac{1}{Z_{t}}\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{t}} \left[Z\left(\theta_{i}, s, B\right) - M\left(\theta_{i}, s, B\right)\right]e^{-aS} + \frac{1}{Z_{t}}\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{t}} \left[M\left(\theta_{i}, s, B\right)e^{-aS} - V\left(\theta_{i}\right)\tilde{A}\left(B\right)\right] + \frac{1}{Z_{t}}\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{t}} V\left(\theta_{i}\right)\tilde{A}\left(B\right)\right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{Z_{t}} \times \text{The Denominator} = \left\{\frac{1}{Z_{t}}\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{t}} \left[Z\left(\theta_{i}, s, \Theta\right) - M\left(\theta_{i}, s, \Theta\right)\right]e^{-aS} + \frac{1}{Z_{t}}\sum_{i=1}^{Z_{t}} M\left(\theta_{i} s \Theta\right)e^{-aS} - V\left(\theta_{i}\right)\tilde{A}\left(\Theta\right)\right\}$$

Given an  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists by lemma 1 an  $s_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}\right)}\frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}\boldsymbol{z}}\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{i}}\left[\boldsymbol{M}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} \quad \boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{B}\right)\boldsymbol{e}^{-a\boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{\theta}}-\boldsymbol{V}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}\right)\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)\right]<\epsilon$$

Now let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and appeal to lemma 2, to make the first term of the numerator and denominator to go to zero in probability. Finally use (A.8) to see that

$$\inf_{i} \frac{1}{Z_t} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_t} V(\theta_i)$$

is bounded below and hence may be removed as a common factor. q.e.d.

# 5. Age-dependent Birth and Death Process

In age-dependent Birth and Death Processes introduced first by Kendall [7]), each object produces a random number of off-springs, born at various times throughout its life; the process is specified by the birth rate  $\lambda(.)$  and the death rate  $\mu(.)$ ; the conditional probability that a particle alive and of age  $\times$  at time t gives birth to an offspring in the time interval [t, t+dt] is  $\lambda(x)dt$  and the probability of the particle dying in the same interval is  $\mu(x) dt$  and that these probabilities are independent of the past once the age is known.

In this section, we obtain conditions on the birth rate  $\lambda(.)$  and the death rate  $\mu(.)$  which ensure that Theorem 1 of section § 4 holds for age-dependent Birth and Death processes. We assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ , that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are non-negative and  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(y) dy = \infty$ . We use notations similar to those in the previous sections : In this case, the type space is  $[o, \infty)$ ,  $Z(x, y, s, \omega)$  denotes the number of particles of age  $\leq y$  at time s, initiated by a single particle of age x at time  $o_{i}$  in the "family  $\omega$ ": M(x, y, s) is the expected value of  $Z(x, y, s, \omega)$ . We write  $Z(x, s, \omega)$  and M(x, s) for  $Z(x, \infty, s, \omega)$  and  $M(x, \infty, s)$  respectively. We assume in what follows that the probability of extinction is zero. We define

$$A(x, t, \omega) = \frac{Z_0(x, t, \omega)}{Z_0(t, \omega)}$$

$$A(x) = \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\alpha s}} \frac{f(o, s) ds}{f(o, s) ds}$$

$$f(x, s) = P \text{ (initial particle lives up to time s/ its age at time zero = x)}$$

$$V(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} \lambda(x + u) \exp\left[-\int_{q}^{\frac{s+u}{q}} u(y) dy\right] du$$

108

Convergence of Type Distribution in a General Growth Model 109 where, the Malthusian parameter  $\alpha$  is defined by the relation

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} \lambda(u) \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{u} \mu(y) \, dy\right] du = 1$$

The expected number  $C_x(t)$  of first generation offspring produced in time by a particle of age x at time o is given by

$$C_{x}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left[-\int_{x}^{s+u} \mu(y) \, dy\right] \lambda(x+u) \, du$$

It is clear that 'a' is determined by  $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-au} dC_{0}(u) = 1$ 

The integral equation for M(x, y, s) is :

$$M(x, y, s) = f(x, s) J(x + s - y) + \int_{0}^{s} M(o, y, s - u) dC_{x}(u)$$
  
Where  $J(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u > 0 \end{cases}$ 

# Theorem 2 :

Assume that  $\lambda$  is bounded,

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \lambda(x) > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{z \to \infty} \int_{x}^{x+u} \mu(y) \, dy < \infty$$
  
Then,  $A(t, x, \omega) \xrightarrow{p} A(x)$ .

**Proof.**—From the integral equation satisfied by M(x, y, s), it is clear by renewal theory [2] that  $\lim_{s\to\infty} e^{-\alpha s} M(o, y, s) = \overline{A}(y)$ ,

where

$$\bar{A}(y) = \frac{\int\limits_{0}^{y} e^{-as} f(o, s) ds}{\int\limits_{0}^{y} t e^{-at} d C_{o}(t)}$$

Also,

$$|e^{-\alpha s} M(x, y, s) - \overline{A}(y) V(x)| \leq e^{-\alpha s} + \int_{0}^{s-k} |M(o, y, s - u)|$$
$$e^{-\alpha (s-u)} - \overline{A}(y) |e^{-\alpha u} dC_{x}(u) + \text{Constant} \int_{s-k}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} dC_{x}(u).$$

Now, 
$$|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-au} dC_{x}(u)|$$
  

$$= |\int_{a}^{b} e^{-au} \lambda(x+u) \exp\left(-\int_{x}^{a+u} \mu(y) dy\right) du|$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{a} (1 - e^{-a(s-k)})$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{a}$$
if  $|\lambda(t)| \leq M \forall t \in [o \infty)$ .  
Hence,  $\sup_{x} \left[\int_{a-k}^{t} |M(o, y, s - u) e^{-a(s-u)} - A(y)| e^{-ay} dC_{x}(y)\right]$ 

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } (s-k) \rightarrow \infty.$$
Also,  $|\int_{a-k}^{\infty} e^{-au} dC_{x}(u)$ 

$$= |\int_{a-k}^{\infty} e^{-au} dC_{x}(u)|$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{a} e^{-a(s-k)}, \text{ so that}$$

$$\sup_{x} |\int_{s-k}^{\infty} e^{-au} dC_{x}(u)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } (s-k) \rightarrow \infty.$$
It follows, therefore, that  

$$\sup_{x} |e^{-as} M(x, y, s) - \overline{A}(y) V(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$
From the integral equation :  

$$M(x, s) = f(x, s) + \int_{a}^{b} M(o, s-u) dC_{x}(u)$$
satisfied by  $M(x, s) = M(x, \infty, s)$ , we see that  

$$\sup_{x} |e^{-as} M(x, s) - \overline{A}(\infty) V(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$
By the argument in Lemma, 2, § 3, it follows that  

$$X_{t} = \frac{1}{Z(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{Z(t)} \{Z_{xi}(s, y) - M_{xi}(s, y)\} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \text{ for}$$
fixed s in  $(o \infty)$  and y in  $(o \infty)$ .

Since  $\lambda$  is continuous, so is V, by bounded convergence theorem. Since  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \lambda(x) > 0$ ,  $\lambda$  does not have compact support : hence V(x) > 0 for each fixed x. By continuity,  $\inf_{x \in [0, k]} V(x) > 0$  for each  $k < \infty$ .

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} V(x) \ge \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} \lim_{x \to \infty} \lambda(x+u) \exp\left(-\lim_{x \to \infty} \int_{0}^{s+u} \mu(y) \, dy\right) du > 0$$
  
since  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \lambda(x) > 0$  and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \int_{0}^{s+u} \mu(y) \, dy < \infty$  for some  $u$ .

Thus,  $\inf_{0 \leq z < \infty} V(x) > 0$ . It follows that  $\frac{1}{z(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{z(i)} V(x_i)$  is bounded below

in probability.

The theorem now follows.

Theorem 3: If  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \lambda(x) = 0$ , then  $A(t, x, \omega) \xrightarrow{p} A(x)$ provided,  $E(\wedge(y) \log |\wedge(y)|) < \infty$ , where  $\wedge(x) = \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\alpha y} \lambda(y) dy$  and y is a random variable having distribution F, where

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp(-\int_{0}^{t} \mu(y) \, dy) & \text{if } t > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof .--- We have,

$$\frac{Z(t+s)}{Z(t)}e^{-\alpha s} = \frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{j=1}^{Z(t)} Z_j (s) e^{-\alpha s}, \text{ where } Z_j (s) = \text{number of}$$

· . . .

particles at time (s + t) originated from the  $j^{th}$  particle at time t. Then

$$\frac{Z(t+s)}{Z(t)}e^{-as} = \frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{s_j \leqslant k} Z_j(s)e^{-as} + \frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{s_j > k} Z_j(s)e^{-as},$$

where, as usual,

 $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{Z(i)} \text{ is the 'age-chart' at time } t.$ Now,  $E(Z_j(s) e^{-as} = E(M(x_j, s) e^{-as}.$  Since  $\sup_{x} |M(x, s)e^{-as} - \tilde{A}(\infty)V(x)| \to 0$  as  $s \to \infty$  and since  $V(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-au)\lambda(x+u) \exp(-\int_{0}^{s+u} u(y) dy) du$   $\to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ , the last term :  $\frac{1}{2} = \sum_{x} Z_{i}(s)$  can be made arbitrarily small (in probability by

 $\frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{s_j > L} Z_j(s) \text{ can be made arbitrarily small (in probability by})$ 

choosing s large and k large.

Also, 
$$\frac{1}{Z(k, t)} \sum_{s_{j} \leq k} Z_{j}(s) e^{-\alpha s}$$

is bounded above in probability since  $M(x, s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  is bounded for x and in  $(o, \infty)$ .

We now show that  $\frac{Z(t+s)e^{-as}}{Z(t)}$  is bounded below in probability. For  $0 < \eta < 1$ , we have  $P \frac{Z(t+s)e^{-as}}{Z(t)} < (\eta)$   $\leq P (Z(t+s)e^{-a(s+t)} \ge \delta_1, Z(t)e^{-at} \le \delta_2, \ \delta_1 < \eta \ \delta_2)$   $+ P (Z(t+s)e^{-a(s+t)} < \delta_1)$   $+ P (Z(t)e^{-at} > \delta_2)$   $= P (Z(t+s)e^{-a(s+t)} < \delta_1)$  $+ P (Z(t)e^{-at} > \delta_2)$ 

if  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$ , are positive and  $\delta_1 \ge \delta_2$ , Now  $P(Z(t+s)e^{-a_1(s+t)} < (\delta_1))$ 

 $\rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ , since  $\frac{Z(t)}{e^{at}}$  converges in distribution to a positive random variable W with a continuous distribution function. (See Doney [3], Theorem 7.7)—

Also since  $M(o, t) e^{-\alpha t}$  is bounded above, it is lear that

$$P(Z(t)e^{-at} > \delta_2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \delta_2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$P\left(\frac{Z(t+s)e^{-\epsilon s}}{Z(t)} \ge \eta\right) \to 1 \text{ as } \eta \to 0 \text{ and } t \to \infty,$$

uniformly in s.

112

Convergence of Type Distribution in a General Growth Model 113

From the equation

$$\frac{Z(t+s) e^{-as}}{Z(t)} = \frac{Z(k, t)}{Z(t)} \frac{1}{Z(k, t)} \sum_{s_j \leqslant k} Z_j(s)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{Z(t)} \sum_{s_j > k} Z_j(s), \text{ it now follows that}$$
$$P\left(\frac{Z(k, t)}{Z(t)} \geqslant \eta\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ for sufficiently small } \eta$$

as  $k \to \infty$  and  $t \to \infty$ . It now follow, as in Athreya, Kaplan ([1], Corollary 2, 4) that

$$\frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{j=1}^{Z(t)} V(x_j) \text{ is bounded away from 0 in probability as } t \to \infty,$$

Since  $\sup V(x) < \infty$  and

$$\sup_{s} \int_{T}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u} \lambda (x+u) \exp \left(-\int_{s}^{s+u} \mu(y) dy\right) du \to 0$$

as  $T \to \infty$ , it follows exactly as in the previous cases that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y}} |(M_y(x,s) e^{-as} - V(y) \tilde{A}(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty$$

$$\sup_{y} |M_{y}(\infty, s) e^{-as} - \tilde{A}(\infty) V(y)| \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{Z(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{Z(t)} \{Z_{xi}(x, s) - M_{xi}(x, s)\} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of the authors, K. Rama Murthy, wishes to acknowledge gratefully. the financial assistance from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (India).

#### References

[1] Athreya, K. B. and Kaplan, N. Convergence of age distribution in the one-dimensional supercritical age-dependent branching process. To appear in Ann. Probability, 1976.

114 K. B.	Athreya	AND	К.	Ramamurthy
-----------	---------	-----	----	------------

[2]	Athreya, K. B. and Ney, P.		Branching Processe. Springer Vesog, Berlin, 1972.
[3]	Doney, R. A.		Age-dependent Birth and Death Processes. Z. Wahrs lichkeitstheorie Verw. Geh., 1972, 22, 69-90.
[4]	Harris, T. E.	••	The Theory of Branching Processes. Springer W Berlin, 1963.
[5]	Jagers, P.		A general stochastic growth model for pupulation de ment. Skand. Aktuavitidskr., 1969, pp. 84-103.
[6]	Jagers, P.	••	Branching Processes with Biological Applications, John New York, 1975.
[7]	Kendall, D. G.	••	Stochastic Processes and population growth. J. Roy. S Soc. Sec, 1949, B 11, pp. 230-264.