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## Abstract

Here we establish three theorems regarding the number of square-integrable solutions of the differential systems of the type

$$
M[\varphi]=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+p(x) & q(x) \\
q(x) & -\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+r(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

on $[0, \infty), \varphi$ is the column vector with elements $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, the coefficient $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are real valued on $[0, \infty)$ and
(i) $p(x), \varphi(x)$ and $r(x)$ belong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$
(ii) $p(x), \varphi(x)$ and $r(x)$ are replaced by $a x^{a}, b x^{\beta}$ and $c x^{\gamma}$ respectively; $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are real constants with $a, c>0$ and $\alpha, \gamma>4 \beta+2$.

In the last theorem we prove that the differential system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L[\varphi] \equiv\left[-P(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+Q(x) \varphi(x)=\lambda \varphi(x) \\
& {\left[\varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi_{r}(0 / x, \lambda)\right]=0=\left[\varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi_{j}(b / x, \lambda)\right](r=1,2 ; j=3,4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is in the limit-2 case, by imposing certain restrictions on the elements of the $2 \times 2$ matrices $P(x)$ and $Q(x) . \quad \varphi_{r}(0 / x, \lambda), r-1,2 ; \varphi_{j}(b / x, \lambda), j=3,4$ are the boundary condition vectors at $x=0$ and at $x=b$ respectively.
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1. We discuss the differential expression determined by

$$
M[\varphi]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) u(x)+q(x) v(x)  \tag{1}\\
v^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(x) v(x)+q(x) u(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

on $[0, \infty)$, where $\varphi$ is the column vector with elements $u(x)$ and $v(x)$. The coefficients $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are real valued on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfy the basic conditions, which
will be specified later in $\S 2$. We denote by $v$ the number of linearly independent solutions belonging to $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty$ ), (the Hilcert space of vector functions with integrable square) of the differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
M[\varphi]=\lambda \varphi \text { on }[0, \infty), \text { where } \text { im } \lambda \neq 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $v$ does not depend on $\lambda$ and that $2 \leqslant v \leqslant 4$ [See Chakravarty ${ }^{2}$ and Chakravarty $^{3}$, Th. 2.1]. $M$ [.] is said to be in the limit $-2,3$ or 4 according as (2) has 2,3 or 4 linearly independent solutions in $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of complex-valued vector functions $F(x)=\left\{f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right\}^{T}$ ( $T=$ Transpose) such that
(i) $F(x)$ is $\mathcal{L}^{:}[0, \infty)$, i.e., $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|F^{\tau}(x) \bar{F}(x)\right| d x$,
( $\bar{F}(x)$ is the complex conjugate of $F(x)$.)
(ii) $f_{1}^{\prime}(x), f_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ are absolutely continuous in $[0, \infty)$
(iii) $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) f_{1}(x)+q(x) f_{2}(x)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) f_{1}(x)+r(x) f_{2}(x)$ belong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$.

For any two vectors $F(x)=\left\{f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right\}^{T}$ and $G(x)=\left\{g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)\right\}^{T}$, let $[F G]$ (.) denote the bilinear form on $\mathscr{D}$ defined by

$$
[F G](x)=f_{1}^{\prime}(x) g_{1}(x)-g_{1}^{\prime}(x) f_{1}(x)+f_{2}^{\prime}(x) g_{2}(x)-g_{2}^{\prime}(x) f_{2}(x)
$$

It follows by the method indicated in Sen Gupta ${ }^{9}$ theorem 5.2. that $M$ [.] is limit-2 at $\infty$ if and only if $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}[F G](x)=0$ for all $F, G$ belonging to $\mathcal{D}$. Thus to establish that $M[$.$] is not limit-2 at \infty$ it is sufficient to produce one pair $F, G$ of elements of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[F G] \neq 0$.

Theorent I: Let $a, b, c, a, \beta, \gamma$ be real constants with $a>0, c>0$. Then, if $\alpha>4 \beta+2, \gamma>4 \beta+2, \beta \geqslant 0$, the differential system

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+a x^{a} & b x^{\beta}  \tag{3}\\
b x^{\beta} & d^{2} \\
d x^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is not limit-2.

Proof : [The method of proof follows Easthami, ${ }^{6}$ ]
We take $F=G$ and determire $F$ by

$$
f_{j}(x)=P_{j}(x) e^{\int_{0}^{x} Q(t) d t}, j=1,2
$$

where $P_{j}(x)$ and $Q_{j}(x)$ are real-valued and will te defined later. A calculation (4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\left\{P_{j}^{\prime}(x)+i P_{j}(x) Q_{j}(x)\right\} e^{i j_{j}^{j} Q_{j}(x) d x} \\
& f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left\{P_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 i P_{j}^{\prime}(x) Q_{j}(x)+i P_{j}(x) Q_{j}^{\prime}(x)-P_{j}(x) Q_{j}^{\left.\frac{1}{j}(x)\right\} e^{i j} Q_{j}(x) d x}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

From these results we oblain $[F F](x)=2 i\left(P_{1}{ }^{2} Q_{1}+P_{2}{ }^{2} Q_{2}\right)$ and with details of the calculations omitted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) f_{1}(x)+q(x) f_{2}(x) \\
&=\left\{\left(-Q_{1}^{2}+p\right) P_{1}+i\left(P_{1} Q_{1}^{\prime}+2 P_{1}^{\prime} Q_{1}\right) P_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right\} e^{i \int_{0}^{z} Q_{1}(x) d x}+q P_{2} e^{i \int_{0}^{j} Q_{2}(x) d x} \\
& f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) f_{1}(x)+r(x) f_{2}(x) \\
&=\left\{\left(-Q_{2}^{2}+r\right) P_{2}+i\left(P_{2} Q_{2}^{\prime}+2 P_{2}^{\prime} Q_{2}\right) P_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\} e^{i \int_{n}^{\prime} Q_{2}(x) d x}+q P_{1} e^{i \int_{0}^{i} Q_{1}(x) d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $P_{j}(x)$ and $Q_{j}(x), j=1,2$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-Q_{1}^{2}+p & =0 & \text { and } & -Q_{2}^{2}+r=0 \\
P_{1}^{2} Q_{1}=A & \text { and } & P_{2}^{2} Q_{2}=B . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

By (5) and the resuits obtained on differentiating (4) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) f_{1}(x)+q(x) f_{2}(x)=P_{1}^{\prime \prime} e^{i \int_{0}^{f} Q_{1}(x) d x}+q P_{2} e^{i \int_{0}^{f} Q_{2}(x) d x} \\
& f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) f_{1}(x)+r(x) f_{2}(x)=P_{2}^{\prime \prime} e^{i \int_{0}^{j} Q_{2}(x) d x}+q P_{1} e^{i \int_{0}^{f} Q_{1}(x) d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order that $F$ should be in $\mathcal{D}$, since $P_{j}(x), Q_{j}(x), j=1,2$ are real-valued. we should have
(1) $P_{1}(x)$ and $P_{2}(x)$ kelong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$
(2) $q P_{1}$ and $q P_{2}$ belong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$
(3) $P_{+}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $P_{z}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ telong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$

Solving (5) and (6), we find

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}(x)=\left\{A^{2} / p(x)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & P_{2}(x)=\left\{B^{2} / r(x)\right\}^{1 / 4} \\
Q_{1}(x)=\{p(x)\}^{1 / 2} & \text { and }
\end{array} Q_{2}(x)=\{r(x)\}^{1 / 2} .
$$

We now retuin to our original differential expression $M[$.$] in which p(x)=a x^{a}$, $q(x)=b x^{\beta}$ and $r(x)=c x^{\gamma}$ from which we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}(x)=O\left(x^{-a: 4}\right), P_{2}(x)=O\left(x^{-\gamma / 4}\right), q(x) P_{1}(x)=O\left(x^{-a \mid 4+\beta}\right) \\
& q(x) P_{2}(x)=O\left(x^{-\gamma / 4+\beta}\right) . P_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=O\left(x^{-a / 4-2}\right), P_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=O\left(x^{-\gamma / 4-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To satisfy the conditions we should have

$$
a>4 \beta+2 \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma>4 \beta+2
$$

These establish the truth of the theorem.

In this case we note that (1) is not limit-2 if
(i) $p(x) \leqslant a x^{\alpha}, q(x) \leqslant b x^{\beta}$ and $r(x)=c x^{\gamma}$ in $[X, \infty)$ for some $X>0$.
(ii) $p^{\prime \prime}(x)=O\left(x^{a-2}\right), q^{\prime \prime}(x)=O\left(x^{\beta-2}\right)$ and $r^{\prime \prime}(x)=O\left(x^{\gamma-2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$
where $a>0, c>0, b, a, \beta, \gamma$ are real constants with $a>4 \beta+2$ and $\gamma>4 \beta+2, \beta \geqslant 0$.

Theorem II : If $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ belong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$, then the differential system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) u(x)+q(x) v(x)=0  \tag{7}\\
-v^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) u(x)+r(x) v(x)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

is not limit-4.
Proof : Let $y=\left\{y_{1}(x), y_{2}(x)\right\}^{T}$ be a solution of (7) belonging to $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$, then because of the conditions $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ belong to $L^{2}[0, \infty)$ the functions

$$
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=p(x) y_{1}(x)+q(x) y_{2}(x)
$$

and

$$
y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=q(x) y_{1}(x)+r(x) y_{2}(x)
$$

are summable, so that the limit

$$
\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} y_{j}^{\prime}(x)=y_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{*} y_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x) d x,(j=1,2)
$$

exists. Hence the functions $y_{j}^{\prime}(x),(j=1,2)$ are bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

Let $U_{j}(x)=\left\{u,(x), v_{j}(x)\right\}^{T},(j=1,2,3,4)$ be the four linearly independent real solutions of the system (7), then the Wronskian of these solutions

$$
\left[U_{1} U_{2}\right]\left[U_{3} U_{4}\right]-\left[U_{1} U_{3}\right]\left[U_{2} U_{4}\right]+\left[U_{1} U_{4}\right]\left[U_{2} U_{3}\right]=\text { constant } \neq 0,
$$

where $\left[F G\right.$ ] is the bilinear form $F^{\tau} G^{\prime}-G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} F^{\prime}$ for any two vectors $F, G$. If $U_{j}(x)$, $(j=1,2,3,4)$ all belong to $\mathscr{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$ then $u_{j}^{\prime}(x), v_{j}^{\prime}(x)(j=1,2,3,4)$ are bounded and hence

$$
\left[U_{1} U_{k}\right]=u_{j} u_{k}^{\prime}+v_{j} v_{k}^{\prime}-u_{j}^{\prime} u_{k}-v_{j}^{\prime} v_{k} \text { are } L^{2}[0, \infty),
$$

so that the function

$$
\left[U_{1} U_{2}\right]\left[U_{3} U_{4}\right]-\left[U_{1} U_{3}\right]\left[U_{2} U_{4}\right]+\left[U_{1} U_{4}\right]\left[U_{2} U_{3}\right]=\text { constant } \neq 0 .
$$

also belongs to $L[0, \infty)$, which is impossible. Thus all the four solutions are not $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
3. We now discuss the $\mathcal{L}^{2}$-classification of the solutions of the differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[\varphi] \equiv\left[-P(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+Q(x) \varphi(x)=\lambda \varphi(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is the column vector with elements $u(x), v(x)$ and

$$
P(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}(x) & p_{2}(x) \\
p_{2}(x) & p_{3}(x)
\end{array}\right), \quad Q(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}(x) & q_{2}(x) \\
q_{2}(x) & q_{3}(x)
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

elements of these matrices are real-valued, continuous and differentiable over $[0, b]$, $b>0$.

Utilising the Green's formula, the bilinear concomitant of any two vectors $F, G$ satisfying the system (8) turns out to be

$$
[F G]=F^{T} P G^{\prime}-G^{T} P F^{\prime}
$$

The boundary conditions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi_{r}(0 / x, \lambda)\right]=0=\left[\varphi(x, \lambda) \varphi_{j}(b / x, \lambda)\right](r=1,2 ; j=3,4) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ is a solution for the system (8) and

$$
\varphi_{r}(0 / x, \lambda)=\left\{x,(0 / x, \lambda), y_{r}(0 / x, \lambda)\right\}^{r}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{j}(b / x, \lambda)=\left\{x_{j}(b / x, \lambda), y_{j}(b / x, \lambda)\right\}^{T}
$$

are the boundary condition vectors at $x=0$ and at $x=b>0$,

The Green's matrix $G(b, x, y, \lambda) \equiv\left(G_{k j}(b, x, y, \lambda)\right)$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G_{11}(b, x, y, \lambda) & G_{21}(b, x, y, \lambda) \\
G_{12}(b, x, y, \lambda) & G_{22}(b, x, y, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Details of construction of Green's matrix can be found in Bhagat ${ }^{1}$ or in Chakravarty ${ }^{2}$ $G(b, x, y, \lambda)=G^{T}(b, x, y, \lambda)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\psi_{11}(x, \lambda) & \psi_{21}(x, \lambda) \\
\psi_{12}(x, \lambda) & \psi_{22}(x, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}(y, \lambda) & y_{1}(y, \lambda) \\
x_{2}(y, \lambda) & y_{2}(y, \lambda)
\end{array}\right), \\
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}(x, \lambda) & x_{2}(x, \lambda) \\
y_{1}(x, \lambda) & y_{2}(x, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{11}(y, \lambda) & \psi_{12}(y, \lambda) \\
\psi_{2!}(y, \lambda) & \psi_{22}(y, \lambda)
\end{array}\right),
\end{array} \quad y \varepsilon(x, b]\right) .
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1} \equiv \psi_{1}(x, \lambda)=\binom{\psi_{11}(x, \lambda)}{\psi_{12}(x, \lambda)}=\frac{\left[\varphi_{2} \varphi_{4}\right] \varphi_{3}(b / x, \lambda)-\left[\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}\right] \varphi_{4}(b / x, \lambda)}{W(\lambda)} \\
& \psi_{2} \equiv \psi_{2}(x, \lambda)=\binom{\psi_{21}(x, \lambda)}{\psi_{22}(x, \lambda)}=\frac{\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}\right] \varphi_{4}(b / x, \lambda)-\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{4}\right] \varphi_{3}(b / x, \lambda)}{W(\lambda)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
W(\lambda)=\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right]\left[\varphi_{3} \varphi_{4}\right]-\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{4}\right]\left[\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}\right]+\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}\right]\left[\varphi_{2} \varphi_{4}\right] .
$$

Following Chakravarty ${ }^{2}$, we can extend the Green's matrix to the singular case, i.e., when $b \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition: The differential expression (8) with (9) is said to be in the limit-2 case if it has only two linearly independent solutions in $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$ for all non-real values of the complex parameter $\lambda$.

Let $S(\lambda)$ denote the number of linearly independent $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$ solutions of the system (8)-(9).

Result 1: $S(\lambda)$ does not depend on the complex parameter $\lambda$.
Let $\varphi\left(x, \lambda_{1}\right)$ be a non-real solution of (8)-(9) belonging to $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$ for a given complex $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, say.

Then

$$
L\left[\varphi\left(x, \lambda_{1}\right)\right]=\lambda_{1} \varphi\left(x, \lambda_{1}\right) .
$$

We consider

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} G^{T}(x, y, \lambda) \varphi\left(y, \lambda_{0}\right) d y
$$

where $\lambda=\sigma+i \tau, \tau>0$, obviously $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ is not null. Now following Chakravarty ${ }^{3}$ the result follows. Also $2 \leqslant S(\lambda) \leqslant 4$.

From now on we designate the system (8) as the $Q$-system and the system obtained from (8) by replacing $Q(x)$ by

$$
Q_{0}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{10}(x) & q_{20}(x) \\
q_{20}(x) & q_{30}(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

as the $Q_{0}$-system.
Let $S_{Q}$ ( $\lambda$ ) represent the number of square-integrable linearly independent solutions of the $Q$-system and $S_{Q_{0}}(\lambda)$ the same for the $Q_{0}$-system.

Result 2: For the bounded elements of the matrix ( $Q-Q_{0}$ ),

$$
S_{Q}(\lambda)=S_{Q_{0}}(\lambda)
$$

where $i$ be a given complex parameter.
Let $\psi(x)=\left\{\psi_{1}(x), \psi_{2}(x)\right\}^{T}$ be a non-null solution of the $Q_{0}$-system belonging to $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L[\psi]-\lambda \psi & =\left[-P(\psi)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+Q_{0} \psi=0 \\
& =\left[-P(\psi)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+Q \psi+\left(Q_{0}-Q\right) \psi=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} G^{T}(x, y, \lambda)\left(Q(y)-Q_{0}(y)\right) \psi(y) d y
$$

satisfies

$$
L \Phi-\lambda \Phi=-\left(Q-Q_{0}\right) \psi
$$

Now following Chakravarty ${ }^{3}$, Th. 2.2 the result follows.
Therefore, we conclude that the addition of a matrix function $Q(x)$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-P U^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}=\lambda U \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not alter $S(\lambda)$ for the system (8), i.e., the $S(\lambda)$ are he same for the systems (8) and (10).
We define $L_{0}$ as the minimal closed symmetric linear differential operator associated with (9) in the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left[0, \infty\right.$ ), the domain of definition $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ of $L_{0}$ is the same as discussed in Sen Gupta ${ }^{9}$. Then

$$
L_{0} U(x)=\left[-P(x) U^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{k}(x)=[0],(k=0,1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the superscript $k$ denotes the $k$-th derivative.
For simplicity we write $S$ for $S(\lambda)$. Let the deficiency indices of $L_{0}$ be $(S, S)$ and in the case when $\lambda$ is replaced by a real number $\lambda_{0}$, we denote it by ( $S_{0}, S_{0}$ ), unlike $S$, $S_{0}$ may not be restricted to 2,3 or 4 and it may vary with $\lambda_{0}$.

It can be proved following Dunford and Schwartz ${ }^{4}$, [pt. II, pp. 1398, lemma 9] that $S_{0} \leqslant S$, to prove $S_{0}=S$ we require the opposite inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0} \geqslant S . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{s}$ be the self-adjoint extension of the operator $L_{0}$. The condition (11) holds if
(a) $\lambda_{0}$ is not an eigenvalue of $L_{0}$
and
(b) $i_{0}$ is not in the continuous part of the spectrum of the self-adjoint extension $L_{8}$ of $L_{0}$. [See Naimarks, pp. 42-43, corollary 3; also Dunford and Schwartz4, pp. 1398, Corollary 8].

Lemma 1: If
(i) $p_{1}(x)>0, p_{1}(x) p_{3}(x)-p_{2}^{2}(x)>0$
and
(ii) $\lim \inf p_{1}^{-1}(x) x^{-2} p_{1,2}(x)>K>0$
$\left(p_{1,2}(x)=\min \left\{p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x)\right\}\right)$.
Then the continuous part of the spectrum of the operator $L_{8}$ spreads over the interval [ $\frac{1}{4} K, \infty$ ).

Proof: Let $\varepsilon$ be any arbitrary real number in $(0, K)$ and let $X$ be such that

$$
p_{1,2}^{2}(x)>(K-\varepsilon) p_{1}(x) x^{2} \text { in }[X, \infty) .
$$

Now let $f(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)^{r}$ be any vector function in $D_{0}$ whose support is compact and lies in $[x, \infty)$.
[The least closed domain outside which a given finite vector function identically vanishes is called its support.]

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{3} f, f\right) d x= & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{-\left(p_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}+p_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}-\left(p_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}+p_{3} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{2}\right\} d x \\
= & \left\{\left(-p_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}-p_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}+\left(-p_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}-p_{3} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{2}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}+ \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\left(p_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}+p_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}^{\prime}+\left(p_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}+p_{3} f_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\right\} d x \\
& \geqslant \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{p_{1}(x)\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+2 p_{2}(x)\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|+\right. \\
& \left.+p_{3}(x)\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right\} d x \\
& \geqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}\left\{p_{1}(x)\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|+p_{2}(x)\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right\}^{2} d x \\
& \geqslant(K-\varepsilon) \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2}\left(\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)^{2} d x \\
& \geqslant \frac{(K-\varepsilon)}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left|f_{1}(x)\right|+\left|f_{2}(x)\right|\right)^{2} d x \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the inequality [Glazman7, pp. 83]

$$
\int_{0}^{x} x y^{2} d x \leqslant \frac{4}{(a+1)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a+2} y^{\prime 2} d x
$$

for any real function $y(x) \in C^{1}[0, \infty), a>-1$.
[See also Eastham ${ }^{6}$, lemma of § 2].
The lemma now follows from (13) and Glazman ${ }^{7}$ [pp. 34, Th. 28].
We are now in a position to prove the following theorem.
Theorem III: If
(i) $p_{1}(x), p_{3}(x)>-p_{2}(x)>0$ in $[0, \infty)$
(ii) $q,(x), j=1,2,3$ are essentially bounded in $[0, \infty)$ and
(iii) $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{-2} p_{1}^{-1}(x) p_{1,2}^{2}(x)>0$
then $(8)-(9)$ is in the limit-2 case.

Proof: Since $q_{j}(x), j=1,2,3$ are bounded in $[0, \infty)$ we only consider the equation (10) to determine the number of $\mathcal{L}^{2}$-solutions of the equation (8). The boundary condjtions are the same in both the cases.

From (11) we see that $L_{0}$ has no eigenvalues; further if we take $\lambda_{0}=0$, then the conditions (a) and (b) of $\S 3$ are satisfied. Therefore, if $S_{v}$ refers to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P(x) U^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (12) holds. We now prove that the equation (14) has two solutions not in $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ $[0, \infty)$, i.e., $S_{0} \leqslant 2$, then (12) and the inequality $S \geqslant 2$ would imply $S=2$. Let $\varphi(x)=\left(\varphi_{1}(x), \varphi_{2}(x)\right)^{T}$ and $\psi(x) \cdots\left(\psi_{1}(x), \psi_{2}(x)\right)^{T}$ be the two solutions of (14) of which no non-trivial linear combination is $\mathcal{L}^{2}[0, \infty)$.

For $\varphi(x)$, we choose $\varphi(x)=(1,1)^{T}$. Next let $X_{0}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{3}(x) p_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)-p_{2}(x) p_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)}{p_{1}(x) p_{3}(x)-p_{2}^{2}(x)}, \frac{p_{1}(x)}{p_{1}} \frac{p_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)-p_{2}(x) p_{3}(x)}{p_{1}(x) p_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)}>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\left[X_{0}, \infty\right)$. These hold by condition (i).
We define $\psi(x)$ to be the solution of (10) which satisfies the initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\psi_{1}\left(X_{0}\right), \psi_{2}\left(X_{0}\right), \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right), \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)=(0,0,1,0)  \tag{16}\\
& \text { at } x=X_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

On integrating (14) over the interval $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ with $U(x)=\psi(x)$ and using (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{p_{3}(x) p_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)-p_{2}(x) p_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)}{p_{1}(x) p_{3}(x)-p_{2}^{2}(x)} \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{p_{1}(x) p_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)-p_{2}(x) p_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)}{p_{1}(X) p_{3}(x)-p_{2}^{2}(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}(x), \psi_{2}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ [by (15)].

Thus $\psi(x)$ is increasing in $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ and it follows that $\psi(x)$ is non-null at $X_{1}$ in $\left(X_{0}, \infty\right)$, therefore, $\psi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

These solutions are linearly independent and neither is square integrable. Further no non-trivial linear combination of $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ can be square-integrable. Hence the theorem follows.
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