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## Abstract

Semi-pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold has been defined and studred.
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## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper ${ }^{1}$, Chaki introduced pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold (PRS) $n_{n}$, i.e., non-flat $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor $s$ satisfies

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)=2 \pi(x) s(y, z)+\pi(y) s(x, z)+\pi(z) s(x, y)
$$

where $\pi$ is a 1 -form, $\rho$ is a particular vector field such that

$$
\pi(x)=g(x, \rho)
$$

and $\nabla$ is the covariant differentiation.
Consider a non-flat $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with its metric $g$, whose Ricci tensor $s$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)=\pi(y) s(x, z)+\pi(z) s(x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla, \rho$ and $\pi$ are already defined. Such a manifold shall be called semi-pseudo Ricci symmetric $n$-dimensional manifold and will be denoted by (SPRS) $n_{n}$.

The existence of such a structure on a Riemannian manifold is first established. It is shown that, on such an (SPRS) $)_{n}$, the scalar curvature is zero. Some conditions satisfied by the Ricci tensor with respect to the vector $\rho$ are established and it is shown that an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ cannot be conformally flat. Also, a particular type of quarter

[^0]symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$ has been introduced on (SPRS) $)_{n}$. The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$, the Ricci tensor $\bar{S}$ and the scalar curvature tensor $\bar{r}$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ have been derived in the last section.

## 2. Existence of an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$

For the existence of such structure, defined in (1), consider a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with metric tensor $g$ which admits a linear connection $D$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x} y=\nabla_{x} y+\pi(y) x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x} s\right)(y, z)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2) and (3), we can have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)=\pi(y) s(x, z)+\pi(z) s(x, y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\nabla s \neq 0$, since $D$ is not identical at $\nabla$. Therefore, structure (1) exists on a Riemannian manifold if it admits a linear connection which satisfies (2) and (3).

## 3. Preliminaries for (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$

From (1), we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{y} s\right)(x, z)=\pi(y) s(x, z)-\pi(x) s(y, z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (5), with respect to $y$ and $z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d r(x)=2 \pi\left(s^{\prime} x\right)-2 \pi(x) r \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s^{\prime}$ is the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point of ( $M^{n}$, g) corresponding to the Ricci tensor $s$.

Next, contracting (1) with respect to $y$ and $z$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d r(x)=2 \pi\left(s^{\prime} x\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (6) and (7), we get

$$
\pi(x) r=0 .
$$

Hence, $r=0$, since $\pi(x) \neq 0$.
Thus, we can state
Theorem 1: The scalar curvature is zero on (SPRS) $n_{n}$
4. Ricci tensor and the vector $\rho$ on an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$

Since $r=0$ on (SPRS) $n$, we get from (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(s^{\prime} x\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
g\left(s^{\prime} x, \rho\right)=0
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x, p)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)=x s(y, z)-s\left(\nabla_{x} y, z\right)-s\left(y, \nabla_{x} z\right)
$$

Taking $z=\rho$ in the above equation, we get by virtue of (9)

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, \rho)=-s\left(y, \nabla_{x} \rho\right)
$$

By virtue of (1) the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\rho) s(x, y)+s\left(y, \nabla_{x} \rho\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\rho$ be a torse-forming vector field ${ }^{5}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{x} \rho=a x+\omega(x) \rho \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero scalar and $\omega$ is a 1 -form.
By virtue of (10) one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{a+\pi(p)\} s(x, y)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s \neq 0$ it follows that

$$
a+\pi(\rho)=0
$$

Thus, we can state,
Theorem 2: If on an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ the vector $\rho$ is a torse-forming vector field given by (11), then, the scalar $a$ must be equal to $-\pi(\rho)$.

## 5. Einstein (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$

It is known that in an Einstein space $\left(M^{k}, g\right)(n>2)$ the scalar curvature $r$ is constant and the Ricci tensor is given by

$$
s(x, y)=\frac{r}{n} g(x, y)
$$

Since on (SPRS) $)_{n}, r=0$, we have from above

$$
s(x, y)=0
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis of the definition of (SPRS) $)_{n}$. Thus, we state,
Theorem 3: An (SPRS) ${ }_{n}(n>2)$ cannot be an Einstein manifold.

## 6. Conformal curvature tensor of (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$

It is known ${ }^{2}$ that in a conformally flat manifold

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{s} s\right)(x, y)=\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\{d r(x) g(y, z)-d r(z) g(x, y)\}
$$

Using Theorem 1 , we get

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{z} s\right)(x, y)=0
$$

Thus, the Ricci tensor is of Codazzi type ${ }^{2}$.
By virtue of (1), one gets from the above

$$
\pi(z) s(x, y)=\pi(x) s(z, y)
$$

Taking $x=\rho$, in the above equation, we get on using (9)

$$
\pi(\rho) s(y, z)=0
$$

Since $\pi(\rho) \neq 0$, we have $s=0$. Thus, we can state,
Theorem 4: An (SPRS) ${ }_{n}(n>3)$ cannot be conformally flat.
Theorem 5: The Ricci tensor of (SPRS) ${ }_{n}(n>3)$ cannot be of Codazzi type.
Further, it is known ${ }^{2}$ that on a Riemannian manifold

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { div } c)(x, y, z)= & \frac{n-3}{n-2}\left\{\left(\nabla_{x^{s}}\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{s} s\right)(y, x)\right\}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\{g(x, y) d r(z)-g(y, z) d r(x)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is the conformal curvature tensor of the manifold.
Now, if the conformal curvature tensor of the manifold is conservative ${ }^{3}$, then since $r=0$ in (SPRS) $)_{n}$, we have,

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{z} s\right)(y, x)=0
$$

Using Theorem 5, we can state,
Theorem 6: An (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ cannot be of conservative conformal curvature tensor.

## 7. Quarter symmetric metric connection on (SPRS),

Consider a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with its Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ and quarter symmetric metric connection ${ }^{4} \bar{D}$. Then, the torsion tensor $\bar{T}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}(x, y)=\pi(y) s^{\prime} x-\pi(x) s^{\prime} y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{x} y=\nabla_{x} y+H(x, y) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, since $\left(\bar{D}_{x} g\right)(y, z)=0$, we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(H(x, y), z)+g(H(x, z), y)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (14), one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)-H(y, x)=\pi(y) s^{\prime} x-\pi(x) s^{\prime} y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see from (15) and (16) that

$$
H(x, y)=\pi(y) s^{\prime} x-s(x, y) \rho
$$

So that, from (14) one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{x} y=\nabla_{x} y+\pi(y) s^{\prime} x-s(x, y) \rho . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\bar{R}(x, y, z)=\bar{D}_{x} \bar{D}_{y} z-\bar{D}_{y} \bar{D}_{x} z-\bar{D}_{[x, y /} z
$$

be the curvature tensor with respect to the quarter symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$. Then from (17) one can have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(x, y, z)= & R(x, y, z)+\left(\nabla_{x} \pi\right)(z) s^{\prime} y-\left(\nabla_{y} \pi\right)(z) s^{\prime} x+ \\
& +\pi(z)\left\{\left(\nabla_{x} s^{\prime}\right) y-\left(\nabla_{y} s^{\prime}\right) x\right\}-\left\{\left(\nabla_{x} s\right)(y, z)-\left(\nabla_{y} s\right)(x, z)\right\} \rho \\
& -s(y, z)\left\{\nabla_{x} \rho+\pi(\rho) s^{\prime} x\right\}+s(x, z)\left\{\nabla_{y} \rho+\pi(\rho) s^{\prime} y\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5) and also the relation

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} s^{\prime}\right) y-\left(\nabla_{y} s^{\prime}\right) x=\pi(y) s^{\prime} x-\pi(x) s^{\prime} y
$$

we get from above

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(x, y, z)= & R(x, y, z)+\left\{\left(\nabla_{x} \pi\right)(z)-\pi(x) \pi(z)+\frac{1}{2} s(x, z) \pi(\rho)\right\} s^{\prime} y \\
& -\left\{\left(\nabla_{y} \pi\right)(z)-\pi(y) \pi(z)+\frac{1}{2} s(y, z) \pi(\rho)\right\} s^{\prime} x+ \\
& +s(x, z)\left\{\nabla_{y} \rho-\pi(y) \rho+\frac{1}{2} \pi(p) s^{\prime} y\right\}-s(y, z)\left\{\nabla_{x} \rho-\right. \\
& \left.-\pi(x) \rho+\frac{1}{2} \pi(\rho) s^{\prime} x\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x, z)=\left(\nabla_{x} \pi\right)(z)-\pi(x) \pi(z)+\frac{1}{2} s(x, z) \pi(\rho)=g(L x, z) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(x, y, z)=R(x, y, z)+\lambda(x, z) s^{\prime} y-\lambda(y, z) s^{\prime} x+s(x, z) L y-s(y, z) L x \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (19) with respect to $x$, we get, on using Theorem 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}(y, z)=s(y, z)+\lambda\left(s^{\prime} y, z\right)+\lambda\left(y, s^{\prime} z\right)-a s(y, z) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\operatorname{trace} L=\operatorname{div} \pi+\frac{r-2}{2} \pi(\rho) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (20) and using Theorem 1 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}=r+\lambda\left(s^{\prime} x, x\right)+\lambda\left(x, s^{\prime} x\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8), we get from (18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left(x, s^{\prime} y\right)=\left(\nabla_{x} \pi\right) s^{\prime} y+\frac{1}{2} \pi(\rho) s\left(x, s^{\prime} y\right) \\
& \lambda\left(s^{\prime} x, y\right)=\left(\nabla_{s^{\prime} x} \pi\right) y+\frac{1}{2} \pi(\rho) s\left(s^{\prime} x, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, on using (4) and (8), we get

$$
\left(\nabla_{x} \pi\right) s^{\prime} y=-\pi(\rho) s(x, y)
$$

Consequently (22) reduces to, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}=\left(\nabla_{s^{\prime} x} \pi\right) x+\pi(\rho) s\left(x, s^{\prime} x\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can state
Theorem 7: If an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ admits a quarter symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$, then we have (19), (20) and (23).

Theorem 8: On an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ with quarter symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$, the necessary and sufficient condition for $\lambda(x, y)$ defined by (18) to be symmetric is that $\pi$ be closed.

Theorem 9: On an (SPRS) ${ }_{n}$ with quarter symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$ the necessary and sufficient condition for $\bar{R}=R$ is that

$$
\lambda(x, z) s^{\prime} y-\lambda(y, z) s^{\prime} x+s(x, z) L y-s(y, z) L x=0
$$

Corollary 1: On an (SPRS) $n$ with a quarter symmetric metric connection $\bar{D}$, if $\bar{R}=R$ then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a s(y, z)=\lambda\left(s^{\prime} y, z\right)+\lambda\left(y, s^{\prime} z\right) \\
& \lambda\left(x, s^{\prime} x\right)+\lambda\left(s^{\prime} x, x\right)=0, \text { and } \\
& \left(\nabla_{s^{\prime} x} \pi\right) x=-\pi(p) s\left(x, s^{\prime} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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