



Green Sensing and Communication: A Step Towards Sustainable IoT Systems

Vini Gupta*, Sharda Tripathi and Swades De

Abstract | With the advent of Internet of Things (IoT) devices, their reconfigurability, networking, task automation, and control ability have been a boost to the evolution of traditional industries such as health-care, agriculture, power, education, and transport. However, the quantum of data produced by the IoT devices poses serious challenges on its storage, communication, computation, security, scalability, and system's energy sustainability. To address these challenges, the concept of green sensing and communication has gained importance. This article surveys the existing green sensing and communication approaches to realize sustainable IoT systems for various applications. Further, a few case studies are presented that aim to generate sensed traffic data intelligently as well as prune it efficiently without sacrificing the required service quality. Challenges associated with these green techniques, various open issues, and future research directions for improving the energy efficiency of the IoT systems are also discussed.

Keywords: Internet of Things (IoT), Green sensing, Green communication, Wireless sensor network, Smart grid, Smart meter, Energy efficiency

1 Introduction

The Internet of Things (IoT) is vital for realization of a multitude of applications across various industries, such as smart homes⁵⁶, smart city³², smart health-care¹, environment sensing⁵³, smart agriculture⁴⁷, and border surveillance³⁴, as illustrated in Fig. 1. IoT devices (namely sensors, wearables, smart meters) used in these applications produce high-volume of data that is difficult to store, process, and communicate in real time. It is estimated that 20 to 40 billion IoT devices will be connected to the internet by the year 2020²⁰. An alarming challenge associated with the realization of these applications is the energy sustainability of the IoT systems. It encompasses the energy spent in data sensing/acquisition, communication, storage, and computation. In addition, scalability, reliability, and latency also play a significant role in the design of such systems.

To address these challenges, intelligence is imparted in the IoT devices and systems to acquire and communicate data in an

energy-efficient manner^{10, 11, 23, 63}. Centralized as well as decentralized implementation of the IoT systems²⁸, design of low-latency reliable communication systems³⁹, and energy-harvesting IoT systems²⁴ have gained significant research interest. Cloud computing, fog computing, and edge computing are looked upon to address the scalability and latency issues. In this context, a new approach, known as multi-access edge computing (MEC)^{2, 5}, has gained popularity due to its shorter response time, reduced energy consumption, network bandwidth saving, and data privacy. Recently, the problem of green communication is addressed from wireless channel variations perspective in works^{43, 44}. In these papers, energy-efficient link-layer re-transmission strategies suitable for the IoT devices are developed using temporal characteristics of the wireless channel.

The primary focus of this article is to provide insights on energy-efficient IoT data acquisition and communication schemes.

¹ Department of Electrical Engineering and Bharti School of Telecom, IIT Delhi, New Delhi, India.
*vini.gupta@ee.iitd.ac.in




Figure 1: IoT applications.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 gives an overview of green sensing and communication techniques along with their applications and challenges. Section 3 presents the application of our proposed techniques to the case studies of lab environment monitoring, smart metering, and smart grid monitoring using real data-sets. A few open issues and future directions are discussed in Sect. 4, followed by conclusion in Sect. 5.

2 Green Techniques: Literature, Challenges, and Applications

This section outlines a variety of techniques developed to save energy not only at data generation points (i.e., IoT devices), but also during communication of the generated data.

2.1 Green-Sensing Techniques

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) are envisaged as a key technology enabling various monitoring applications of the IoT such as environment monitoring, remote health-care surveillance, border surveillance, etc. The devices/sensors used in these applications generate volumes of data which are often redundant and mutually correlated. A large volume of energy is consumed in these continuous sensing operations. Further, this streaming data requires reporting to a central entity for actionable decisions. This communication too consumes energy. The efficient energy utilization aspect is of utmost importance for these IoT applications. To cope with the ever-increasing energy demand, a shift from

conventional periodic sensing to intelligent/smart sensing is seen in recent works. The quantum of data generated at source (i.e., sensors) is reduced intelligently by exploiting characteristics of the to-be-monitored processes. Further, the energy demand is targeted to be met primarily by the ambient resources, such as solar, wind, radio frequency energy resources. This approach is coined as **green sensing**. Although energy is saved in these green-sensing techniques, it should not be at the cost of compromising sensing quality (quality of service (QoS) measure). Different green-sensing techniques are discussed in the below sub-sections.

2.1.1 Duty Cycling

To extend the lifetimes of the energy-constrained sensors and WSNs, one of the early and widely used techniques is duty cycling⁹. The key idea is to turn on and off the mote's radio to save its energy. This alters the duty cycle (on time/(off+on time)) of the node's sensing activity. It could be implemented randomly or based on a schedule. However, random duty cycling requires dense WSNs to guarantee enough active nodes at any point of time to provide the required quality of sensing. Random asynchronous wake-up (RAW) protocol developed in the work⁴⁶ is one such example. Recently, a machine learning-based duty cycling scheme for air pollution sensing is proposed in the work¹⁴. It exploits temporal correlation inherent in the pollutant to decrease the on-period (or duty cycle) of the node and predicts missing

Green sensing: Intelligently reducing volume of data generated at source to increase energy efficiency of the WSN.

pollutant data during off-period using support vector regression technique.

In general, the duty cycling approach, especially the scheduled one, is a trade-off between energy efficiency and latency (i.e., delay in data delivery to the target node/central entity)³⁸.

2.1.2 Wake-up Radio

Wake-up radio (WuR) is a promising approach to reduce both energy consumption of the node and delay³⁸ in data delivery to the target node. The latter one is crucial for delay-sensitive IoT applications. The objective is to use a low-power radio that is active all the time to listen to a wake-up signal and activate the main radio on demand. This prevents unnecessary periodic wake-up of the main radio to listen to the channel (i.e., idle listening). The WuR is categorized as active/passive based on the energy source used for receiving the wake-up signal. In the active WuR, the energy is drawn from the node's battery, while in the passive WuR, it is drawn from the radio frequency (RF) signal (wake-up signal) itself. Wireless Identification and Sensing Platform (WISP) mote, proposed in⁶, is an example of passive WuR. Likewise, the authors in³⁶ proposed a low-cost RF energy harvester-based WuR that performs both the wake-up and energy harvesting functions.

The WuR has potential applicability in industrial wireless networks especially for time-critical applications such as fault identification, gas pipeline leakage detection, etc.

2.1.3 Sensor Scheduling

Another well-researched and popular direction to achieve green sensing is sensor selection/scheduling. A subset of sensors/wireless nodes is activated to perform sensing based on some intelligence, while the remaining sensors/nodes sleep to save energy. The essence behind this parsimonious sensor selection is the spatio-temporal correlation inherent in sensor measurements. Sparsity induced in the measurements due to this allows monitoring of the corresponding underlying process using under-sampled sensor measurements.

In this context, early works^{40, 70} randomly chose a fixed number of nodes for activation. However, these works do not guarantee sensing quality and energy efficiency. Thereafter, research interest shifted to propose schemes that guarantee energy efficiency and/or sensing quality. For the former one, the work¹² proposed a greedy approach of selecting sensors with maximum energy efficiency index (i.e., the difference between residual energy and transmission

energy of the node). This approach failed to provide good sensing quality. Subsequently, several works focused on providing certain sensing quality. One such pioneering work³³ proposed to select linear measurements of k out of total m sensors by formulating and subsequently solving a convex optimization problem based on the D-optimality criterion of experimental design. Further, for the non-linear measurement model, a selection scheme is formulated in work¹³ by employing Cramér–Rao lower bound (CRB) as a sensing performance measure. This measure characterizes mean squared error (MSE) in the estimation of the field signal sensed using a few sensors⁶⁵. Subsequently, the authors in work⁷² developed a field reconstruction algorithm based on spatial linear unbiased estimator (S-BLUE). Using the estimates, a cross-entropy method-based sensor selection method is proposed for heterogeneous sensor networks. Such collaboration between the WSNs is extremely beneficial in the context of realizing the IoT applications. The work²⁷ advocated a signal's correlation to design deterministic node selection strategies and developed a covariogram-based estimation of signal's covariance structure. Although, the schemes in the works^{13, 27, 33, 72} save energy, they cannot guarantee the energy efficiency as they may repeatedly select nodes with low remaining energy which can create network coverage holes. Thus, to overcome this limitation, schemes developed in works^{10, 11} that ensure both the sensing quality and energy efficiency of the WSN while selecting a fixed number of sensors. The measurements of these selected sensors are then utilized to estimate entire WSN field using the well-known compressed sensing (CS)¹⁸ and Bayesian learning⁶⁷ schemes as outlined in works^{10, 11, 27, 72}.

The works discussed so far deal with centralized sensor selection strategies often possess high energy and communication overheads. Poor connectivity between the central entity (fusion center (FC)) and nodes leads to questions on the reliability of the centralized architecture. Additionally, these schemes may not be suitable for delay-constrained scenarios. To surmount these shortcomings, decentralized sensor selection strategies have been developed in recent studies. Nodes locally exchange information to decide their own or respective fellow nodes' activation/sleep state. In this regard, a Bayesian approach is used to develop iterative centralized and decentralized sensor selection strategies for heterogeneous sensing applications in the work²⁸. Subsequently, the works^{30, 31} used consensus and double-consensus averaging to solve the sensor selection problem in

Wake-up radio: On demand activation of the radio using an active wake-up signal.

a decentralized/distributed manner. These works suggested the dual sub-gradient method to solve the distributed sensing problem. Further, the authors in⁶⁸ formulated a distributed collaborative sensor selection approach by combining both the sensor correlation and sensor-target distance to achieve required sensing accuracy, energy balance among sensors, and extend the network lifetime.

Besides sensing quality and energy efficiency, coverage is another important, often ignored, QoS measure of the WSNs. In a recent work⁴², a distributed solution for coverage control is proposed by the application of game theory in the active sensor selection problem. The work too aimed to extend both coverage and network lifetime.

Sensor selection based green techniques has utility in a variety of IoT applications such as water quality monitoring, intrusion detection, border surveillance, health monitoring, agriculture/soil monitoring, avalanche detection, pollution monitoring, target tracking, etc.

Major drawbacks associated with the above-mentioned centralized and distributed sensor selection schemes are:

1. A spatio-temporally varying signal is sensed by fixing one of the two performance measures, namely, sensing quality and number of active nodes.
2. Unequal remaining energy associated with different nodes is ignored, which may result in network coverage outage.
3. Iterative local information exchange in distributed settings consume a lot of energy and increases the delay. However, none of the proposed distributed approaches considered energy consumption.

2.1.4 Adaptive Sampling

A more practical approach to green sensing is **adaptive sampling** wherein the sampling rate (i.e., the number of active nodes/total number of nodes) is adapted as per the dynamics of the monitored process. Intuitively, a low (high) sampling rate suffices for sensing a slowly (rapidly) varying process. In this regard, a principal component analysis and CS-based sensing, compression, and recovery (SCoRe) framework is proposed for WSNs in the work⁴⁹. Adaptation occurs by exponentially increasing (linearly decreasing) the sampling rate when process variations increases (decreases). Another approach²⁵

built a hash table capturing the sampling rate corresponding to different variations of the process and used it as a lookup during the sensing process. Recently, an adaptive sensing framework was proposed in work²³ that adapts sensing as per the process dynamics. A multi-objective optimization problem is proposed therein that jointly optimizes the sensing quality and energy efficiency of the WSN. The authors in the work²⁶ proposed three adaptive data acquisition approaches for industrial process monitoring applications. In that work, energy management is primarily considered along with sampling rate adaptation of the sensors. Likewise, a correlation-based adaptive measurement technique is developed in work⁵⁴ that collects data from a subset of dynamically chosen nodes and use them for inference of measurements across remaining sleeping nodes.

Most of the IoT applications require multi-sensing, i.e., sensing multiple signals/parameters simultaneously, which is a relatively new and less explored approach to sensing. In this context, multi-sensing platforms have been designed in works^{4, 8}. The authors in the work⁵⁰ developed a threshold-based energy-efficient multi-sensing protocol for landslide monitoring applications. Subsequently, adaptive and hierarchical context-aware multi-sensing schemes are proposed in the work⁴⁸. Recently, an adaptive and optimized multi-sensing approach is developed for smart environment application in the work²⁴. It collectively considers the sensing quality, energy efficiency, and multiple signals' dynamics in the sensor selection process.

2.1.5 Comparison of the Above-Mentioned Green-Sensing Technique

The duty cycling technique is energy-efficient compared to the conventional exhaustive sensing technique which do not have off-period. However, it consumes energy in idle listening and comes at the price of high latency. These shortcomings are overcome by wake-up radio (WuR). The WuR has potential applicability in industrial wireless networks. The duty cycling and WuR techniques do not depend on the type of data/signals being sensed. Exploiting characteristics of the signals being monitored (such as temporal and spatial correlation, its variations) can also play a significant role in saving energy spent in sensing. For instance, the redundancy due to the correlation(s) in the signal can be intelligently minimized by data-driven techniques such as sensor scheduling and adaptive sampling. Adaptive

Adaptive sampling: Adapt the number of nodes to be activated as per the dynamics of the observed process.

sampling is more energy-efficient compared to non-adaptive sensor selection (such as fixed-rate sampling). These data-driven techniques can be used for applications such as environment monitoring, avalanche monitoring, health monitoring, wildlife habitat surveillance, etc. Among these applications, some are time-critical and some are not. If the adaptive sampling frameworks^{23, 24} comprise a mobile data collector/robot that collects sensed data from the active nodes and sends it to the FC, then these are suitable for non real-time applications. For time-critical applications, these frameworks require the active nodes to send the sensed data directly to the FC.

2.2 Green Communication Techniques

In addition to green sensing, green communication is another key enabler for energy efficiency and sustainability in IoT. Particularly, in the sensor networks where sampling rate is pre-defined and sensing energy is not of much concern, green communication is of interest to optimize the resource utilization (especially bandwidth) for transmission and archival of IoT big data. Here, two green communication scenarios pertaining to the emerging smart grid IoT networks, namely, advanced metering and wide area monitoring and control, are presented. In this context, the smart meters and phasor measurement units (PMUs) behave as sensors and generate volumes of fine grained data from electricity distribution networks. Though acquisition and analysis of this massive data imparts intelligence to the conventional analytical framework to adapt to the dynamics of real world systems, its efficient communication and storage is a challenge.

The proposed green initiative in these applications is to intelligently prune the amount of generated data at the edge node itself, such that first-level data reduction is achieved well before millions of IoT devices try to access the wireless network for transmission. It may be noted here that, prior to pruning, it is essential to study the characteristics of the data so as to preserve the useful information in the process of data compression and reconstruction. Two kinds of approaches are typically seen in the research literature for data pruning in IoT networks. These are compression based and prediction based, for delay-tolerant and delay-sensitive scenarios, respectively.

2.2.1 Data Pruning by Compression

For delay-tolerant applications such as advanced metering, data can be pruned by applying compression algorithms on the appliance-level as well as household-level data. This data can have a high or a low data resolution. In state-of-the-art, it is observed that the data compression algorithms operating at the aggregation points generally work on low-resolution data which is on the order of 1 sample per several minutes. These studies are based on signal processing algorithms such as singular value decomposition⁵⁵, load features based compression⁶¹, dictionary learning and sparse encoding⁶⁶, and entropy coding³ that exploit the temporal and spatial attributes of the data streams collected from different sensors. However, a limitation of data pruning at the collection points is that they do not address the issue of data reduction at the sensor nodes, and thus are less useful for reduction in amount of data transmitted in near-real time applications.

Since modern day smart meters can capture average power consumption data at a rate as high as 1 sample per second, compression of high granularity data at the meter level is of current research interest. In such cases, due to high sampling frequency of the smart meter data, the variations observed in load patterns are not significant. Thus, the redundancy in the data provides an opportunity to compress it before transmission. The algorithms proposed in literature for the pruning of high-resolution smart meter data are based on exploitation of correlation in consecutive data samples. For instance, a lossy compression method¹⁹ controls the amount of generated smart meter data by using piece-wise approximation of original sample pattern. Besides, loss-less compression algorithms using Huffman coding, Markov chain variants⁵¹, and differential coding⁶⁴ are also proposed for compression of household-level as well as appliance-level data. It is seen that the performance of these algorithms is sensitive to sampling frequency, decimal precision of the meter reading as well as noise in the communication channel. More robust frameworks for effective characterization and reduction of high-frequency smart meter data using adaptive compressive sampling are proposed in^{52, 63}, respectively, for single-variate and multi-variate data samples, based on adaptive sparsity selection over optimum batch size before data transmission. It may be noted that since smart meters are connected to continuous power supply, extra energy overhead in implementing the data pruning algorithms is of not much interest.

From green communications perspective, in this case, only the optimization of bandwidth requirement and storage space for transmission and archival of big data from smart meter to the data collection points is discussed.

2.2.2 Data Pruning by Prediction

Unlike data pruning by compression, data pruning by prediction is more suited to delay-sensitive data in order to avert the requirement of buffering time for data compression. A pertinent IoT application in this context is of wide area monitoring and control in smart grids. In the research literature offline dimensionality reduction of PMU data are proposed using linear principal component analysis⁶⁹, wavelet packet decomposition^{21, 37}, and loss-less encoding⁶⁰. These algorithms are proposed to operate at the receiver for archiving the data using minimal storage while preserving the data characteristics.

A few studies based on least square curve fitting²², and compressive sampling¹⁷ are also proposed for real-time reduction of PMU data. The standard reporting rate from PMU to the control center is currently fixed at 25 samples/s. However, this fixed-rate data transmission may not be very useful in terms of resource utilization since transient occurrences in the power grid are sporadic and much of the sampled PMU data are redundant. Also, non-stationarity of the data has been largely ignored during data reduction approaches proposed so far leading to inefficient compression. To address this, a novel learning-based framework based on ϵ -support vector regression⁶² is proposed to dynamically prune the PMU data before transmission. Parameters of the learning algorithm are recomputed as necessary to take care of non-stationarity and maintain the accuracy and robustness of the predictions.

2.3 Energy-Harvesting Techniques

The finite battery capacity of the nodes serves as a major bottleneck of the WSNs. Available solutions such as battery replacement, using large batteries and low-power hardware, etc., do not guarantee perpetual operation of these nodes. Green sensing and communication techniques alone cannot eliminate energy outage problem completely. In this regard, energy harvesting is envisioned as a potential solution and its applicability is widely researched nowadays. The energy can be harnessed from ambient sources as well as from dedicated sources. To do so, the wireless

nodes are equipped with a harvester module and a rechargeable battery or a super-capacitor to store the harvested energy.

Recently, solar energy harvesting capability of the nodes^{35, 57} is integrated with a multi-sensing framework for a heterogeneous WSN²⁴. The authors in²⁹ suggested an idea of employing a few nodes for data aggregation and the remaining nodes for harvesting energy from the sensed electric signal. Further, an optimal sampling policy is designed in work⁷¹ that minimizes the sensing error under stochastic energy constraints which arise due to random energy arrivals.

Continuous network operation still cannot be guaranteed by harvesting energy from ambient sources due to their uncertain nature. An approach for dedicated (on-demand) wireless energy transfer from a radio frequency (RF) source, known as RFET, is proposed in⁴¹ to provide sustainable network operations. In this regard, the work in³⁶ investigated the possibility of building a WuR using an RF energy harvester available at the WSN node. Likewise, the works in^{58, 59} presented a framework for an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)-based wireless charging of sensor nodes using RFET.

2.4 Challenges

Major challenges associated with reducing the energy consumption of the IoT applications are discussed in the below sections.

2.4.1 Sensing Quality and Energy Efficiency Trade-off

There are two conflicting design goals of the WSN-based IoT systems, namely, lifetime and sensing quality. Improving one hurts the other. Thus, suitably handling trade-off between the sensing quality and energy efficiency (or network lifetime) is a big challenge that depends on the type of application being pursued and its requirement.

2.4.2 Coverage and Energy Efficiency Trade-off

It is important to provide coverage of the observing field in applications such as gas-leakage monitoring, chemical hazards detection, intrusion detection, etc., especially when only a few devices/nodes are activated to save energy. Thus, another challenge is to strike a balance between coverage and energy saving in the WSN-based applications.




Figure 2: Generalized monolithic-sensing scenario.

2.4.3 Latency/Bandwidth (BW)/Energy Versus Sensing Reliability

Time-critical applications such as tele-surgery, smart grid monitoring, etc., demand low latency (i.e., fast data delivery) which is often achieved either by sparse monitoring or data pruning before transmission. This saves communication BW and energy at the cost of sensing reliability (i.e., quality of information provided by the IoT devices).

2.4.4 Energy-Efficient Data Computation

Processing high-volume IoT data at the device (node)-level in distributed architecture too consumes large amounts of energy. Thus, data processing is another challenge due to limited battery-operated nodes.

3 Case Studies

This section discusses green schemes developed by us in previous works^{23, 24, 62, 63} for three IoT applications, namely, lab environment monitoring, smart grid monitoring, and smart metering.

3.1 Lab Environment Monitoring

To reduce data volume at the generation points (i.e., IoT devices/nodes) itself, a few sensors/nodes are activated to monitor a WSN field, while the remaining ones are allowed to sleep. The spatial and temporal correlations of observed signals are used to decide the activation/sleep pattern of sensors of the WSN.

An adaptive sensor selection framework proposed in work²³ is applied on temperature data produced by a WSN deployed in Intel Berkeley lab⁷ to verify its energy efficacy in performing monolithic sensing. Similarly, to simultaneously sense two parameters of the lab environment, namely, temperature and humidity, a multi-sensing framework proposed in recent work²⁴ is applied. This work considered the solar energy harvesting aspect as well. Additionally, detection limit constraint imposed by heterogeneous sensors is also integrated into sensor selection and signal estimation processes. Sensor selection in the monolithic²³ and multi-sensing²⁴ cases for a general application can be better visualized from Figs. 2 and 3, respectively.

In the adaptive monolithic²³ (multi²⁴)-sensing scheme, the FC solves multi-objective optimization problem(s) that find active sensor(s) set(s) by jointly optimizing the sensing quality and network energy efficiency in each measurement cycle. In the process, it is ensured that a required performance criterion (Bayesian CRB (BCRB) window $[\alpha, \beta]$) does not get violated. Further, the measured signal(s) variations are estimated and the size of the sensor(s) set(s) for next measurement cycle is appropriately adapted. Next, the FC conveys active sensor(s) schedule to the nodes. The active sensors of the nodes then sense respective monitoring parameters and nodes convey these measured signals back to the FC via a robot. The FC then iterates the same process for the next measurement cycle. Note that in multi-sensing, the FC carries out sensor selection and adaptation process for each sensor/signal type in parallel. These works assume slowly varying process(es)




Figure 3: Generalized multi-sensing scenario.




Figure 4: Network residual energy and NMSE comparison of the adaptive and optimized monolithic sensing scheme²³ with Chen’s scheme^{10, 11}.

which enable computation of the measure BCRB using recent past signal estimates of these process(es). This knowledge is used to drive the sensor selection task in the current measurement cycle. For more details, please refer the works^{23, 24}.

Figures 4 and 5 compare performance of the adaptive optimized monolithic sensing framework proposed in work²³ with optimized subset selection scheme proposed by Chen *et al.* in^{10, 11} and an adaptive sensing framework SCoRe proposed by Quer *et al.* in⁴⁹ using real data-set of temperature signal⁷ as mentioned above. It can be observed that adaptive and optimized sensing of a spatio-temporally varying process increases energy efficiency of

the WSN without compromising the sensing quality. Gain in energy efficiency is tabulated in Table 1. The adaptive optimized sensing scheme is, respectively, ~ 67% and ~ 30% more energy-efficient than the Chen’s and Quer’s scheme. Note that, the simulation parameters are set as proposed in work²³ (Sec. V-F) except the BCRB window $[\alpha, \beta]$, threshold δ_{th} , and node’s initial energy $\eta_1(n)$ which are, respectively, set as $= [1.215 \times 10^{-5}, 0.0306]$ and $= [8.7731 \times 10^{-6}, 0.008]$ (for comparison with Chen’s and Quer’s schemes), 0.051, and 1400 units. The parameters-threshold δ_{th} and BCRB depend on the signal being observed. These are set in the current work as per the considered




Figure 5: Network residual energy and NMSE comparison of the adaptive and optimized monolithic sensing scheme²³ with Quer's scheme⁴⁹.

Table 1: Energy efficiency comparison for monolithic sensing case.

Monolithic sensing scheme	Node energy consumption per cycle (J)	Energy efficiency gain
Chen-based ^{10, 11}	220.83	–
Adaptive and optimized ²³	71.875	67.45% (w.r.t. Chen)
Quer-based ⁴⁹	168.3594	–
Adaptive and optimized ²³	116.7969	30.6264% (w.r.t. Quer)

temperature data-set and in the work²³ as per humidity data-set considered there. The choice of the BCRB window affects the sensing quality and the threshold value is meant for capturing signal variations effectively. Likewise, a node's initial energy governs its remaining energy after execution of measurement cycle. Simulations are carried out in Matlab and optimization problems are solved using CVX¹⁵ tool.

Likewise, performance of the multi-sensing framework proposed in work²⁴ and Chen's scheme^{10, 11} are compared for simultaneously sensing the above-mentioned two lab parameters (temperature and humidity). It is evident from Figs. 6, 7 and Table 2 that energy-efficient smart environment sensing without sacrificing the accuracy is achievable using the adaptive multi-sensing scheme²⁴, with energy efficiency of ~ 15% with respect to the comparing scheme^{10, 11}. In Fig. 6, increase in energy consumption is seen beyond ~ 25th measurement cycle. Reason being due to increase in variations of the monitored signal during these cycles, the adaptation mechanism increases the number of active nodes (or sampling rate).

This increases the energy consumption. The framework increases (decreases) the sampling rate when the variations in the signal increases (decreases). For simulations, number of nodes considered are $N = 30$, initial energy as 6 units, harvested energy as in work²⁴, noise variance $\sigma^2 \sim 10^{-6}$, number of parameters/signals to be observed as $P = 2$, sensing energy of temperature and humidity sensors as $\{E_s^1, E_s^2\} = \{0.2, 1.0315\}$ units, their detection limits as $\{\rho^1, \rho^2\} = \{14.4, 38.6\}$, Bayesian CRB windows $[\alpha^1, \beta^1] = [1.1 \times 10^{-6}, 0.06]$, $[\alpha^2, \beta^2] = [3.1 \times 10^{-6}, 0.06]$, and thresholds as $\{\delta_{th}^1, \delta_{th}^2\} = \{0.05, 0.06\}$, $\{\epsilon_{th}^1, \epsilon_{th}^2\} = \{0.5, 0.5\}$. For Chen's scheme, number of temperature and humidity sensors to be activated are, respectively, fixed as 15 and 16.

Note that the sensing quality is determined by normalized mean squared error (NMSE) performance measure which depends on actual signal (NMSE = $\frac{\frac{1}{N} \|\text{actual signal vector} - \text{estimated signal vector}\|^2}{\frac{1}{N} \|\text{actual signal vector}\|^2}$). However, the actual signal is unknown and needs to be estimated. Thus, the above adaptive monolithic




Figure 6: Network energy consumption per cycle comparison of the adaptive and optimized multi-sensing scheme²⁴ with Chen’s scheme^{10, 11}.




Figure 7: Sensing quality (NMSE) comparison of the adaptive and optimized multi-sensing scheme²⁴ with Chen’s scheme^{10, 11}.

and multi-sensing frameworks uses BCRB as the measure to optimize sensing quality instead of the NMSE as done in several existing works^{10, 13}. The NMSE is plotted to show the achieved sensing quality by using the BCRB measure in the sensing frameworks. The NMSE values obtained are within the acceptable range as suggested in the work²³ (reference 45). Further, as the name suggests, the NMSE averages error obtained in estimates of signal across all sensors. It gauges energy in error signal against that in signal. In Figs. 4, 5, and 7, the sensing quality is an average entity. Network residual energy is not averaged, because it is sum of remaining energy of all the nodes in the network.

Applications in IoT deployment may have specific needs related to the range where it is expected to deliver sensed data. In this regard, in the future work, it will be interesting to investigate the maximum distance up to which the signal can be detected by the sensors to maintain a desired QoS.

3.2 Automated Metering in Smart Cities

As discussed in Sect. 2.2, compression techniques are preferred for data pruning at edge devices in the IoT networks where latency is not a constraint. Here, resource savings via green communication of smart meter data are investigated by testing the performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm⁶³ on real smart meter data sampled at the rate of 1 per 30 s.

It is observed that though high-resolution smart meter data has a rapidly fluctuating and spiky pattern indicating incoherence in time domain, it can be reasonably sparsified using discrete Fourier transform. Further, if sparsity selection is adapted to the variation of data in the compression window, optimal data reduction without much loss of information can be achieved. Also, the size of compression window is a function of temporal correlation in the consecutive data samples which is governed by the sampling frequency of the smart meter. The optimum size of compression window is evaluated based on the trade-off between bandwidth saving and reconstruction accuracy, which in turn is a function of temporal correlation in the consecutive data samples and the sampling frequency of the smart meter. In⁶³, it is observed that with the increase in number of samples in the compression window, bandwidth saving reduces, while the reconstruction accuracy increases. However, beyond an optimum number of samples, the nRMSE nearly saturates. This point is considered as the optimum size of the compression window. For data sampled at the rate of 1 per 30 s, this interval was found to be 60 s. Once the data are buffered to form optimally sized compression window, sparsity for each window is decided by estimating the number of discrete Fourier coefficients containing 99.99% energy of samples in the

Table 2: Energy efficiency comparison for multi-sensing case.

Multi-sensing scheme	Network energy consumption per cycle (J)	Energy efficiency gain
Chen-based ^{10, 11}	16.04606	-
Adaptive and optimized ²³	13.52912	15.6857% (w.r.t. Chen)




Figure 8: Reconstruction performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm.

Table 3: Performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm at 4 different locations.

Dataset	Bandwidth saving (%)	nRMSE	Hellinger's distance
Location #1	38.59	4.42×10^{-4}	0.0195
Location #2	34.91	3.38×10^{-4}	0.0153
Location #3	43.19	3.37×10^{-4}	0.0319
Location #4	33.38	3.07×10^{-4}	0.0237

compression window. The samples to be transmitted are then selected using a sensing matrix. At the receiver, reconstruction of compressed samples is performed using subspace pursuit¹⁶ algorithm. Thus, substantial reduction in data volume is achieved by adaptively compressing high-resolution smart meter data over successive optimally sized windows and accordingly transmitting only minimum required number of samples.

The performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm is measured in terms of bandwidth saving, normalized root mean square error (nRMSE), and Hellinger's distance. If n and m , respectively, be the number of samples in the data window before and after compression, then $(n - m)/n$ is used as a measure of bandwidth saving. nRMSE quantifies the accuracy of prediction, while Hellinger's distance validates the acceptability of nRMSE value for the required quality of service. For discrete probability distributions $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ and $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n\}$, Hellinger's distance between them is defined as

$$H(P, Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2}.$$

For this application, Hellinger's distance ≤ 0.05 is considered tolerable⁴⁵. In Fig. 8, reconstruction performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm at

the receiver using subspace pursuit algorithm is presented. The reconstructed data are observed to be closely following the actual data, and the estimated nRMSE is 4.4×10^{-4} . Further the performance of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm is tested on data-sets from smart meters at 4 different locations in our university campus having sufficient pattern diversity. In Table 3, the respective performance indices at each of these locations are presented. It is observed that Hellinger's distance corresponding to nRMSE at each of the locations is well below the acceptable threshold. Thus, a mean reduction of around 37% is achieved in the bandwidth requirement for transmission of high-resolution smart meter data with minimum loss of information.

A comparison of adaptive compressive sampling algorithm with the closest competitive technique based on resumable data compression⁶⁴ is presented in⁶³. From the simulations, it is found that with respect to resumable data compression, bandwidth saving in the proposed adaptive compressive sampling technique is 12.8% and 7.4% higher, respectively, for data granularity of 1 s and 30 s at a comparable reconstruction accuracy. Additionally, it is also observed that the noise robustness of the proposed adaptive compressive sampling algorithm is significantly higher.

3.3 Smart Grid Health Monitoring

In this section, performance of dynamic prediction algorithm⁶² is tested on different variables measured by the PMU during a real tripping event in the power grid. Each variable considered in this study has a different temporal correlation coefficient governed by the underlying process dynamics.

To handle huge data volume generated during the health monitoring in smart grid IoT network, the dynamic prediction algorithm judiciously eliminates redundant data before transmission using ϵ -support vector regression model. Flow of the algorithm comprises training a prediction model through configuring hyperparameters and making successive one-step ahead prediction of variable samples using the prediction model. It may be noted that due to non-stationarity of PMU data, retraining of the regression model is required once the predicted sample deviates from actual value by a margin greater than predefined threshold ϵ . Hyperparameters of the learning algorithm are estimated using cross-validation optimization error. The algorithm is proposed to operate simultaneously at the PMU (i.e., the

Table 4: Performance of dynamic prediction algorithm for different variables measured by the PMU.

Variable	Correlation	BWS (%)	RC	DI	RMSE
Frequency	0.9993	85.2	0.04	1	0.0087
Angle separation	0.9987	80.5	0.04	1	0.008
Voltage phase A	0.9658	81.9	0.08	0.99	0.0473
Voltage phase B	0.9504	82.2	0.1	0.98	0.0490
Voltage phase C	0.9421	82.4	0.09	0.99	0.0483
Rate of change of frequency	0.8230	85.08	0.15	1	0.0048

edge node) and the control center. At the PMU, the learning-based model identifies and eliminates the superfluous samples, while at the control center, its counterpart estimates the omitted samples within a given error threshold.

Accuracy of sample predictions and runtime complexity of the algorithm are governed by the choice of error threshold ϵ , and length of the training set. It is observed that a larger training length does not necessarily guarantee precise predictions, thus value of optimum training length is also considered a hyperparameter and is parsimoniously selected based on the variations in the dataset. Likewise error threshold ϵ is application domain specific. Its value depends on the sensitivity of different variables measured by the PMU. Performance of the algorithm is measured in terms of bandwidth saving (BWS), retraining count(RC), disturbance identification index (DI), and root mean square error (RMSE), which signify the reduction in amount of resource requirements, runtime complexity, satisfaction of QoS, and accuracy of predictions, respectively. Bandwidth saving is the percentage of PMU data samples that are not transmitted. These are essentially the samples which are successfully predicted within the error bound ϵ at the PDC. If l is the length of powerline frequency sequence measured by PMU over a sufficiently large time interval Δ , then, $BWS = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Successful predictions by PMU}/l) \times 100$. DI is a measure of goodness of the model in identifying a fault scenario. Over a large interval Δ , let l_{dist} and \hat{l}_{dist} be, respectively, the actual and the estimated number of frequency samples designated to be in disturbed states. Then, $DI = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{l}_{dist}/l_{dist})^{62}$. The indices RC and DI are upper bounded by value 1, and their higher values indicate high runtime complexity and better QoS satisfaction, respectively. A comparison of performance of dynamic prediction algorithm for different variables measured by the PMU is presented in Table 4. It is observed that

around 80% saving in bandwidth requirement is attained for various parameters without any degradation in QoS satisfaction, though runtime complexity for the variables having lower temporal correlation is slightly higher.

4 Avenues for Future Research

The case studies presented in the previous section clearly indicate that by the application of green techniques, substantial resource savings can be achieved in terms of energy efficiency, bandwidth, and storage space optimization in transmission and archival of massive IoT data. In this section, a few pertinent research issues and directions open for future investigation and realization of the green sensing and communication techniques are discussed.

- With large scale deployment of IoT nodes, a primary challenge has been in powering these nodes, and to externalize their lifetime. Though conventional literature suggests battery-powered operations, battery replacement can be arduous and expensive, especially when the nodes are deployed in inaccessible terrains. To this end, energy harvesting techniques from ambient sources for sustainable operation of IoT nodes such as use of solar energy, radio-frequency, and unmanned aerial vehicles assisted sensor node charging has emerged as a new research direction.
- The design of strategies that jointly optimize/handle various trade-offs discussed in Sect. 2.4 is the need of the hour. Multi-objective optimization and multi-interval hybrid approaches are looked upon as prospective solutions. In multi-interval hybrid approach, different optimization frameworks are employed at different energy/performance intervals which are often governed by nature of application.
- Scalability is an important practical issue in most of the IoT applications due to the

involvement of multiple devices and networks. In this context, the design of distributed and hybrid schemes for sensing/monitoring is another interesting research direction. Further, existing distributed sensor selection strategies are non-adaptive to the dynamic signals being monitored. Design of adaptive distributed monolithic and multi-sensing strategies and their contribution to energy saving is another dimension to work upon.

- Integration of various system-level constraints, imposed by exhausted energy of nodes, detection limits of different sensors in heterogeneous sensing environment, is often overlooked in the green sensing techniques. To bridge the gap between theoretical and practical implementation of the IoT systems, it is vital to consider these constraints.
- Another research direction pertaining to green communication of massive IoT data are effective characterization of wireless channel for its energy-efficient usage, and design of wireless channel adaptive communication strategies to meet the QoS requirements of the respective IoT applications. A few existing schemes exploit temporal variations of the channel for enhancing its utilization as well as energy efficiency, however their applicability to IoT networks where edge devices have limited computational resources needs to be well investigated. In this context, development of simple yet efficient wireless channel prediction frameworks and protocols to facilitate reliable transmission of IoT data holds a significant research potential.
- Further, energy consumption at different layers (MAC, network, and physical layers) is handled separately in the existing literature. A cross-layer solution that jointly exploits techniques used at individual layers to save energy such as sensor management/selection, cluster formation, and power control, etc., is required to be developed to ameliorate energy efficiency of frameworks designed for the IoT applications.
- To prevent networks and sensitive information against various security attacks and eavesdropping, it is important to impart security and privacy in a network. However, relevant operations such as data encryption/decryption, attack detection, etc., consume significant amount of computation energy, while transmission of secured data incurs communication overhead. Thus, reducing energy cost while ensuring certain quality of protection

(QoP) is another challenging research direction.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, a comprehensive overview of current green techniques for the IoT systems along with their merits and demerits has been presented. Twofold benefits of the green techniques are extending the system's lifetime and achieving environmental sustainability. Various challenges and critical trade-offs associated with simultaneously balancing the system's performance and energy efficiency have been identified. Performance of data-driven green sensing and communication schemes for realizing three pertinent IoT applications, namely, lab environment monitoring, smart grid health monitoring, and smart metering, have been analyzed. It can be concluded that exploiting machine learning, multi-objective optimization, Bayesian learning, compressed sensing, etc., in data-driven green schemes significantly aid in incorporating necessary intelligence at sensing, communication, and computation levels. Around 15% more energy efficiency is achieved in adaptive and optimized multi-sensing based lab monitoring application, and 80% and 37% bandwidth saving is achieved in smart grid monitoring and smart metering applications, respectively, without degrading the required quality of service. Several open issues vital for improving energy efficiency and practical realization of the IoT applications have been identified for future research.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partly supported by the Department of Telecommunication, Government of India, under the Grant No. 4-23/5G test bed/2017-NT, for building end-to-end 5G test bed and TCS RSP fellowship.

Received: 4 December 2019 Accepted: 17 March 2020
Published online: 23 April 2020

References

1. Abdellatif AA, Mohamed A, Chiasserini CF (2018) User-centric networks selection with adaptive data compression for smart health. *IEEE Syst J* 12(4):3618–3628

2. Abdellatif AA, Mohamed A, Chiasserini CF, Tlili M, Erbad A (2019) Edge computing for smart health: context-aware approaches, opportunities, and challenges. *IEEE Netw* 33(3):196–203
3. Abuadbbba A, Khalil I, Yu X (2018) Gaussian approximation based lossless compression of smart meter readings. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 9(5):5047–5056. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2017.2679111>
4. Akbar MA, Ali AAS, Amira A, Benammar M, Bensaali F, Mohamad S, Tang F, Bermak A, Zgaren M, Sawan M (2014) A multi-sensing reconfigurable platform for gas applications. In: *IEEE Int. Conf. Microelec. (ICM)*, pp. 148–151. Doha, Qatar
5. Avino G, Bande P, Frangoudis PA, Vitale C, Casetti C, Chiasserini CF, Gebru K, Ksentini A, Zennaro G (2019) A MEC-based extended virtual sensing for automotive services. *IEEE Trans. Netw, Service Manag*
6. Ba H, Demirkol I, Heinzelman W (2010) Feasibility and benefits of passive RFID wake-up radios for wireless sensor networks. In: *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, pp. 1–5. IEEE
7. Bodik P, Hong W, Guestrin C, Madden S, Paskin M, Thibaux R (2004) Intel lab data. Online dataset,
8. Bri D, Coll H, Garcia M, Lloret J (2008) A multisensor proposal for wireless sensor networks. In: *IEEE Int. Conf. Sensor Tech. and Appl. (SENSORCOMM)*, pp. 270–275. Cap Esterel, France
9. Carrano RC, Passos D, Magalhaes LC, Albuquerque CV (2013) Survey and taxonomy of duty cycling mechanisms in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Commun Surv Tuts* 16(1):181–194
10. Chen W, Wassell IJ (2014) Compressive sleeping wireless sensor networks with active node selection. In: *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, pp. 7–12. Austin, TX, USA
11. Chen W, Wassell IJ (2016) Optimized node selection for compressive sleeping wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol* 65(2):827–836
12. Chen Y, Zhao Q (2005) On the lifetime of wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Commun Lett* 9(11):976–978
13. Chepuri SP, Leus G (2015) Sparsity-promoting sensor selection for non-linear measurement models. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 63(3):684–698
14. Chowdhury MR, De S, Shukla NK, Biswas RN (2018) Energy-efficient air pollution monitoring with optimum duty-cycling on a sensor hub. In: *National Conf. Commun. (NCC)*, pp. 1–6. IEEE
15. CVX Research, I. (2012) CVX: Matlab software for disciplined convex programming, version 2.0. <http://cvxr.com/cvx>
16. Dai W, Milenkovic O (2009) Subspace pursuit for compressive sensing signal reconstruction. *IEEE Trans Inf Theory* 55(5):2230–2249. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2009.2016006>
17. Das S, Sidhu TS (2014) Application of compressive sampling in synchrophasor data communication in WAMS. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform* 10(1):450–460. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2013.2272088>
18. Donoho DL (2006) Compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans Inf Theory* 52(4):1289–1306
19. Eichinger F, Efros P, Karnouskos S, Bhm K (2015) A time-series compression technique and its application to the smart grid. *VLDB J* 24(2):193–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00778-014-0368-8>
20. Ejaz W, Anpalagan A, Imran MA, Jo M, Naeem M, Qaisar SB, Wang W (2016) Internet of things (IoT) in 5G wireless communications. *IEEE Access* 4:10310–10314
21. Gadde PH, Biswal M, Brahma S, Cao H (2016) Efficient compression of PMU data in WAMS. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 7(5):2406–2413. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2016.2536718>
22. Ge Y, Flueck AJ, Kim DK, Ahn JB, Lee JD, Kwon DY (2015) Power system real-time event detection and associated data archival reduction based on synchrophasors. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 6(4):2088–2097. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2014.2383693>
23. Gupta V, De S (2018) SBL-based adaptive sensing framework for WSN-assisted IoT applications. *IEEE Internet Things J* 5(6):4598–4612
24. Gupta V, De S (2019) Adaptive multi-sensing in EH-WSN for smart environment. In: *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*. Big Island, HI, USA
25. Hao J, Zhang B, Jiao Z, Mao S (2015) Adaptive compressive sensing based sample scheduling mechanism for wireless sensor networks. *Pervasive Mobile Comput* 22:113–125
26. Harb H, Makhoul A (2017) Energy-efficient sensor data collection approach for industrial process monitoring. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform* 14(2):661–672
27. Hooshmand M, Rossi M, Zordan D, Zorzi M (2015) Covariogram-based compressive sensing for environmental wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Sens J* 16(6):1716–1729
28. Hwang S, Ran R, Yang J, Kim DK (2015) Multivariate Bayesian compressive sensing in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Sens J* 16(7):2196–2206
29. Jain N, Bohara VA, Gupta A (2018) iDEG: Integrated data and energy gathering framework for practical wireless sensor networks using compressive sensing. *IEEE Sens J* 19(3):1040–1051
30. Jamali-Rad H, Simonetto A, Leus G (2014) Sparsity-aware sensor selection: centralized and distributed algorithms. *IEEE Signal Process Lett* 21(2):217–220
31. Jamali-Rad H, Simonetto A, Ma X, Leus G (2015) Distributed sparsity-aware sensor selection. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 63(22):5951–5964
32. Jin J, Gubbi J, Marusic S, Palaniswami M (2014) An information framework for creating a smart city through internet of things. *IEEE Internet Things J* 1(2):112–121
33. Joshi S, Boyd S (2009) Sensor selection via convex optimization. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 57(2):451–462

34. Karthick DR, Prabakaran AM, Selvaprassanth P (2019) Internet of things based high security border surveillance strategy. *Asian J Appl Sci Technol (AJAST)* 3:94–100
35. Kaushik K, Mishra D, De S (2019) Stochastic solar harvesting characterisation for sustainable sensor node operation. *IET Wireless Sensor Syst* 9(4):208–217
36. Kaushik K, Mishra D, De S, Chowdhury KR, Heintelmann W (2016) Low-cost wake-up receiver for RF energy harvesting wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Sens J* 16(16):6270–6278
37. Khan J, Bhuiyan S, Murphy G, Williams J (2016) Data denoising and compression for smart grid communication. *IEEE Trans Signal Inf Process Netw* 2(2):200–214. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSIPN.2016.2539680>
38. Kozłowski A, Sosnowski J (2019) Energy efficiency trade-off between duty-cycling and wake-up radio techniques in IoT networks. *Wireless Pers. Commun.* pp. 1–21
39. Lien SY, Hung SC, Deng DJ, Wang YJ (2017) Efficient ultra-reliable and low latency communications and massive machine-type communications in 5G new radio. In: *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, pp. 1–7. Singapore
40. Ling Q, Tian Z (2010) Decentralized sparse signal recovery for compressive sleeping wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 58(7):3816–3827
41. Mishra D, De S, Chowdhury KR (2015) Charging time characterization for wireless rf energy transfer. *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst II Exp Briefs* 62(4):362–366
42. Movassagh M, Aghdasi HS (2017) Game theory based node scheduling as a distributed solution for coverage control in wireless sensor networks. *Eng Appl AI* 65:137–146
43. Mukherjee P, De S (2018) cDIP: Channel-aware dynamic window protocol for energy-efficient IoT communications. *IEEE Internet Things J* 5(6):4474–4485
44. Mukherjee P, Mishra D, De S (2017) Exploiting temporal correlation in wireless channel for energy-efficient communication. *IEEE Trans Green Commun Netw* 1(4):381–394
45. Pardo L (2005) *Statistical inference based on divergence measures*. CRC Press, Boca Raton
46. Paruchuri V, Basavaraju S, Durresi A, Kannan R, Iyengar SS (2004) Random asynchronous wakeup protocol for sensor networks. In: *Intl. Conf. Broadband Netw.*, pp. 710–717. IEEE
47. Patil, K., Kale, N.: A model for smart agriculture using IoT. In: *Intl. Conf. Global Trends Signal Process., Inf. Comput. and Commun. (ICGTSPICC)*, pp. 543–545. IEEE (2016)
48. Prabha R, Ramesh MV, Rangan VP, Ushakumari P, Hemalatha T (2017) Energy efficient data acquisition techniques using context aware sensing for landslide monitoring systems. *IEEE Sens J* 17:6006–6018
49. Quer G, Masiero R, Pillonetto G, Rossi M, Zorzi M (2012) Sensing, compression, and recovery for WSNs: sparse signal modeling and monitoring framework. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun* 11(10):3447–3461
50. Ramesh MV, Rangan VP (2014) Data reduction and energy sustenance in multisensor networks for landslide monitoring. *IEEE Sens J* 14(5):1555–1563
51. Ringwelski M, Renner C, Reinhardt A, Weigel A, Turau V (2012) The hitchhiker's guide to choosing the compression algorithm for your smart meter data. In: *IEEE International Energy Conference and Exhibition (ENERGYCON)*, pp. 935–940. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EnergyCon.2012.6348285>
52. Roychowdhury M, Tripathi S, De S. Adaptive multivariate data compression in smart metering internet of things. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.* (in press 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2777148>
53. Shah, J., Mishra, B.: IoT enabled environmental monitoring system for smart cities. In: *Intl. conf. internet of things and appl. (IOTA)*, pp. 383–388. IEEE (2016)
54. Silvestri S, Urgaonkar R, Zafer M, Ko BJ (2018) A framework for the inference of sensing measurements based on correlation. *ACM Trans Sensor Netw* 15(1):4
55. de Souza JCS, Assis TML, Pal BC (2017) Data compression in smart distribution systems via singular value decomposition. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 8(1):275–284. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2015.2456979>
56. Stojkoska BLR, Trivodaliev KV (2017) A review of internet of things for smart home: challenges and solutions. *J Clean Prod* 140:1454–1464
57. Suman S, De S (2019) Low complexity dimensioning of sustainable solar-enabled systems: A case of base station. *IEEE Trans. Sustainable Comput.* (in press)
58. Suman S, Kumar S, De S (2019) UAV-assisted RFET: A novel framework for sustainable WSN. *IEEE Trans. Green Commun, Netw*
59. Suman S, Kumar S, De S (2020) Impact of hovering inaccuracy on UAV-aided RFET. *IEEE Commun Lett* 23(12):2362–2366 in press
60. Tate JE (2016) Preprocessing and Golomb-Rice encoding for lossless compression of phasor angle data. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 7(2):718–729. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2015.2495290>
61. Tong X, Kang C, Xia Q (2016) Smart metering load data compression based on load feature identification. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 7(5):2414–2422. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2016.2544883>
62. Tripathi S, De S (2018) Dynamic prediction of power-line frequency for wide area monitoring and control. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform* 14(7):2837–2846. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2777148>
63. Tripathi S, De S (2018) An efficient data characterization and reduction scheme for smart metering infrastructure. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform* 14(10):4300–4308
64. Unterweger A, Engel D (2015) Resumable load data compression in smart grids. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid* 6(2):919–929. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2014.2364686>

65. Van Trees HL (2004) Detection, estimation, and modulation theory. John Wiley & Sons, New York
66. Wang Y, Chen Q, Kang C, Xia Q, Luo M (2017) Sparse and redundant representation-based smart meter data compression and pattern extraction. *IEEE Trans Power Syst* 32(3):2142–2151. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2016.2604389>
67. Wipf DP, Rao BD (2004) Sparse Bayesian learning for basis selection. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 52(8):2153–2164
68. Xiao K, Li J, Yang C (2017) Exploiting correlation for confident sensing in fusion-based wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Trans Ind Electron* 65(6):4962–4972
69. Xie L, Chen Y, Kumar PR (2014) Dimensionality reduction of synchrophasor data for early event detection: linearized analysis. *IEEE Trans Power Syst* 29(6):2784–2794. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2014.2316476>
70. Xue T, Dong X, Shi Y (2013) Multiple access and data reconstruction in wireless sensor networks based on compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun* 12(7):3399–3411
71. Yang J, Wu J (2014) Optimal sampling of random processes under stochastic energy constraints. In: Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM), pp. 3377–3382. IEEE
72. Zhang P, Nevat I, Peters GW, Septier F, Osborne MA (2018) Spatial field reconstruction and sensor selection in heterogeneous sensor networks with stochastic energy harvesting. *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 66(9):2245–2257



Vini Gupta received the B.Tech. degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi, India, in 2013 and the M.Tech. degree in Signal Processing and

Communication from the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India, in 2016. She worked in Tata Consultancy Services Limited, New Delhi, as an Assistant System Engineer, from 2013 to 2014. She is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Delhi, New Delhi, India. Her research interests include design of centralized and distributed green sensing framework for wireless sensor networks-based IoT applications, application of sparse signal processing and Bayesian learning for networked sensing, and radar signal processing. She is a recipient of TCS RSP Fellowship (2016–present).



Sharda Tripathi received the B. Tech. degree from Rajiv Gandhi Technical University, Bhopal, India, in 2007, the M.Tech. degree in Digital Communication Engineering from Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Maulana

Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India in 2011, and Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India in 2019. She is currently working as a Postdoctoral Researcher at the Department of Electronics and Telecommunication, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy. Her current research interests include cross-layer data-driven resource optimization strategies for IoT communications and applications, stochastic analysis and performance modeling of dynamic

communication over wireless channels, queueing theoretic modeling of communication networks and application of machine learning for predictive modeling of non-stationary processes.



Swades De (S'02-M'04-SM'14) received the B.Tech. degree in Radiophysics and Electronics from the University of Calcutta in 1993, the M.Tech. degree in Optoelectronics and Optical communication from IIT Delhi in 1998, and the Ph.D. degree in Electrical

Engineering from the State University of New York at Buffalo in 2004. Dr. De is currently a Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Delhi. Before joining IIT Delhi in 2007, he was a tenure-track Assistant Professor with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ, USA, from 2004 to 2007. He worked as an ERCIM Post-Doctoral Researcher at ISTI-CNR, Pisa, Italy (2004), and has nearly five years of industry experience in India on communications hardware and software development, from 1993/1997, 1999. His research interests are broadly in communication networks, with emphasis on performance modeling and analysis. Current directions include energy harvesting sensor networks, broadband wireless access and routing, cognitive/white-space access networks, smart grid networks, and IoT communications. Dr. De currently serves as a Area Editor for the IEEE COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS, and an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY, the IEEE WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS, the IEEE NETWORKING LETTERS, and the IETE Technical Review Journal.