A PULSE ECHO DETERMINATION OF THE ELASTIC

MASS V. RADHA AND E S. R. GOPAL

(Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-12, India)

[Received : May 31, 1967]

ABSTRACT

The elastic constants of sodium bromate (NaBro₃) single crystals have been determined by the ultrasonic pulse echo method from velocity measurements along [100] and [111] directions. The results $[c_{11}=5.64_5, c_{12}=2.03_8$ and $c_{44}=1.54_5$ in units of 10¹⁰ Num⁴ at 25°C] are compared with other available data. The elastic constants of sodium bromate are discussed in relation to those of sodium chlorate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sodium bromate NaBrO₃ crystallises in the cubic tetrahedral group. A study of the elastic wave velocities in NaBrO₃ and in the isomorphous NaClO₃ was originally suggested¹ in order to detect possible deviations from the classical elastic behaviour, but a precision pulse echo study² of NaClO₃ showed no departures greater than 0.1% from classical theory. The present study of NaBrO₃ was part of the programme. Even though the substance has been investigated earlier³⁻⁵, no accurate pulse echo determination of c_{ij} has been carried out so far and further, these earlier determinations show rather large variations antong themselves. Hence an investigation of the elastic constants by the precision ultrasonic pulse echo technique was considered worthwhile and, in view of the discordance among the earlier values mentioned above, the new results are briefly reported here.

2. MEASUREMENTS AND RESULTS

A cubic crystal has three independent elastic constants c_{11} , c_{12} and c_{44} . Incidentally, the present study showed that in NaBrO₃, as in NaCtO₃, no observable deviations occur from the classical infinitesimal theory of elasticity. A similar situation of agreement with classical theory to within 0.1% has been observed in a few other cases where careful and specific studies were made⁶⁻⁹. It must therefore be concluded that at present the classical theory is perfectly adequate.

Single crystals of sodium bromate, approximately $15 \times 15 \times 8$ mm³ in size, were grown by slow evaporation of the aqueous solution. Velocities of longitudinal and transverse acoustic waves along the [100] and [111] directions were measured by a pulse echo technique using unrectified pulses at 10 MHz. The details of the set up are found elsewhere⁹. The longitudinal and shear wave velocities along the [100] direction readily yield c_{11} and c_{44} respectively, while c_{12} emerges out from velocity measurements in the [111] direction. The present value of c_{12} is the average of those obtained from longitudinal and shear wave velocities along the [111] direction, the values between themselves agreeing to within 0.04%. The absolute accuracy of the present arrangement is $\pm 0.1\%$ in c_{11} and c_{44} and $\pm 0.2\%$ in c_{12} .

TABLE I

Elastic Constants of NaBrO3

Author	Method	c_{11}	c_{12}	644	k
Mason	Resonance	6.16	2.36	1.54	27.6
Sundara Rao	Wedge	5.45	1.91	1.50	32.4
Bechmann	Resonance	5.73	1.76	1.52	32.4
Bridgman	Static compression	10.00		poses.	44.1
Present values	Pulse echo	5.64 ₈	2.03 ₈	1.547	30.8 ₅

Table I furnishes the present values of the elastic constants c_{ij} and the cubic compressibility k of sodium bromate, together with the results of the earlier workers. The c_{ij} values are expressed in $10^{10} N/m^2$, while the compressibility is in units of $10^{-14} m^2/N$. Mason's and Bechmann's compliance values were matrix-inverted to obtain the stiffness coefficients.

A comparison of the present values with those of others clearly shows a good agreement in the case of c_{44} . c_{11} lies mid-way between the values of Sundara Rao and Bechmann, while Mason's value is too large by about 10%. The present value of c_{12} is virtually the mean of the three earlier values as is also the case with the cubic compressibility k. Bridgman's measurement of the compressibility at high pressure gives a rather high $k_{\rm c}$ Bridgman's value is the isothermal compressibility, while the others are adjubatic values. But k(isothermal) is larger than k (adjabatic) by only a few per cent. It is commonly found in a number of other cases that static measurements give a rather large value of k, probably because of appreciable plastic deformation in the measurement of (linear) compressibility at high pressures. However, it has not been possible to explain the discrepancies among the earlier measurements of elastic constants. The wedge method is admittedly susceptible to large errors of the order of 5 - 10% in c_{11} and c_{44} , but the resonance methods used by Mason and by Bechmann are generally regarded as correct to within about 1%.

The effect of piezo electricity on elastic constants, as with $NaC10_3^2$ and other non-ferroelectric crystals¹¹, is too small to be detected experimentally in the present case.

3. COMPARISON OF THE C.; OF NaBrO3 WITH NaClO3

The present experimental investigation defenitely establishes the higher elastic constants of the bromate over the chlorate of sodium $(c_{11} = 4.92, c_{12} = 1.42 \text{ and } c_{44} = 1.16 \text{ in units of } 10^{10} \ N/m^2$ for NaClO₃ as compared with the corresponding values of 5.65, 2.04 and 1.55 for NaBrO₃). Both sodium oblerate and sodium bromate belong to the cubic tetrahedral (space group $P_{2,3}$) Class; X-ray studies show that the unit cell contains four molecules and has the edges $a_0 = 6.570$ Å for NaClO₃ and 3335 for NaBrO₃. The densities are 2485 kg/m^3 for NaClO₃ and 3335 for NaBrO₃. The ClO₃ and BrO₃^{*} groups are pyramidal; the oxygen atoms are arranged at the corners of an equilateral triangle, but the cation is displaced from the plane of the triangle, the displacement of the central cation from the basal plane being greater for Br than for Cl. Therefore, the Na-Br distance (3.72 Å) is appreciably shorter than the Na-Cl distance (4.02 Å).

It should first be noted that, according to the contact law for ionic distances suggested by Bragg¹⁴, one should expect the elastic constants of the bromate should be smaller because the bromate ion is larger in size The experimental situation is completely to the contrary. There is evidence from other properties as well that sodium bromate has stronger inter-atomic forces. The melting point of NaBrO₃ (381°C) is higher than that of NaClO₃ (255°C). Thermal expansion studies 15, 16 show that the coefficient of thermal expansion us well as its temperature variation is much smaller for the bromate than for the chlorate. The Raman spectra and the low frequency lattice lines $(< 150 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{17}$ show that the bromate frequencies are only slightly less than chlorate frequencies. If the substantially heavier mass of the bromate ion is taken into account, this would also suggest stronger inter-atomic forces in the bromate. This is probably connected up with the shorter Na - Br bond distance which is only 3.72 Å as compared with the Na - Cl distance of 4.02 Å in the chlorate.

This qualitative relationship between the bond distance and the elastic constant (irrespective of the size of the unit cell) is indirectly supported by the case of sodium chloride and sodium bromide. The inter atomic distances are, for NaCl 281 A ($a_0 = 5.63$ Å) and for NaBr 2.98 A ($a_0 = 5.96$ Å). The elastic constants c_{11} , c_{12} and c_{44} are for NaCl respectively 4.93, 1.31 and 1.28 and for NaBr respectively 4.02, 1.15 and 0.99. The melting points are 801° C for NaCl and 755° C for NaBr. Thus in this set the larger inter-atomic distances result in NaBr having weaker bonding and consequently lower elastic constants and lower melting point.

The Elastic Constants of Sodium Bromate

In the case of $NaClO_3$, $NaBrO_3$ set it must therefore be concluded that the shorter Na - Br distance is responsible for the larger elastic constants of NaBrO₃, even though its unit cell size and the Br ion size are larger. A full discussion can obviously be made only if the complete lattice dynamics is worked out for this structure. But this is a formidable problem and has not been done so far.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their grateful thanks to Prof. R. S. Krishnan and Dr. P. S. Narayanan for encouragement and interest in the work. Thanks are also due to Dr. R. Viswanathan for help in sample preparation and during the early part of the work.

 Krishnan, R. S, Chand and Raja Gopal, E. S. 	irasekharan,	v.	J. Indian Inst. Sci. 1959. Golden Jubilez Res. Vol., 150.		
2. Viswanathan, R.		• •	J. Appl. Phys. 1966, 37, 884.		
3. Mason, W. P.	• •		Phys. Rev. 1946, 70, 529.		
4. Sundara Rao, R. V. G.			Curr. Sci. 1949, 18, 204.		
5. Bechmann, R.	••		Proc. Phys. Soc. London, 1951, 642, 323.		
6. Jaffe, H. and Smith, C. S.			Phys. Rev. 1961, 121, 1604.		
7. Aleksandrov, K. S. and Ryabinkin, L. N.			Sov. Phys Doklady, 1962, 7, 99.		
8. Swartz, K. D. and Granato	, A. V.	••	J. Acoust. Soc. Amer, 1965, 38, 824.		
9. Viswanathan, R.		• •	Ind J Pure. Appl. Phys. 1964, 2, 53.		
10. Bridgman, P. W.	••		Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts & Sci. 1929, 64, 51.		
11. Raja Gopal, E. S.	• •		Phys. Letters, 1962, 1, 70.		
12. Aravindakshan, C.			Z. Krist. 1959, 111, 241.		
13. Hamilton, J. E.	••		Ibid, 1938, 100, 104.		
14. Bragg, W. L.			Phil. Mag. 1920, 40, 169; 1926, 2, 258.		
15. Sharma, S. S.			Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 1950, 31A, 83.		
16. Ganesan, S.	••		J. Indian Inst. Sci. 1959, A 41, 9.		
17. Couture, L. and Mathieu, I	J. P.		Annals. Physique. 1948, 3, 521.		

REFERENCES

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

Haussühl (Phys. kondens. Materie. 1964, 3, 139) has determined the elastic constants of silver chlorate, sodium chlorate and sodium bromate by the Schaefer-Bergmann method and the results have become available to us very recently. On comparing his values of c_{ij} of NaBrO₃ ($c_{11} = 5.47_8$, $c_{12} = 1.67_8$ and $c_{44} = 1.50_8 \times 10^{10} N/m^2$) with the present values, c_{11} and c_{44} are slightly less ($\sim 2 - 3\%$) than the new values while c_{12} is considerably low (by $\sim 20\%$). It is worth noting that Haussühl's values of NaClO₃ also differ from the pulse-echo and resonance results. For instance, his values of NaClO₃ ($c_{11} = 5.00_1$, $c_{12} = 1.54_8$ and $c_{44} = 1.15_6 \times 10^{10} N/m^2$) agree somewhat well ($\sim 1 - 2\%$), as far as c_{11} and $c_{44} = 1.0^{10} N/m^2$ and those obtained from the resonance method due to Mason³ ($c_{11} = 4.99$, $c_{12} = 1.38$ and $c_{44} = 1.16 \times 10^{10} N/m^2$) and due to Bechmann⁵ ($c_{11} = 4.99$, $c_{12} = 1.42$ and $c_{44} = 1.9$, $c_{12} = 1.42$ and $c_{44} = 1.9$, $c_{12} = 1.42$ and $c_{44} = 1.9$, $c_{12} = 1.42$ and $c_{44} = 1.0^{10} N/m^2$). But again in the case of c_{12} the differences are considerable ($\sim 10\%$). The origin of this dis.reparey is not quite known.