Water pressure on a broken dam during earthquakes

A CHAKRABARTI AND V N NALINI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Received on May 10, 1983, Revised on February 8, 1984

Abstract

An exact method based on two-dimensional potential-flow theory has been applied for solving the problem of determination of the hydrodynamic pressure excreted during earthquakes on a dam whose opstream face is broken in the sense that it makes different angles with the horizontial at different heights. The pressure coefficient has been evaluated numerically and the results obtained have been compared with those determined experimentally by earlier workers

Key words: Dam, pressure, Schwarz-Christoffel transformation, Poisson's formula, pressure coefficient

1. Introduction

A detailed study of the problem associated with the determination of hydrodynamic pressure exerted, during earthquakes, on a straight dam with a vertical upstream face by an incompressible fluid was first made by Westergaard¹ In the case of dams with inclined upstream faces of constant and compound slopes, Zangar² determined the hydrodynamic pressure experimentally by using an electrical analogue. An exact analytical solution of the problem based on two-dimensional potential-flow theory has been derived by Chwang³ in the particular case when the inclined upstream face of the dam has a constant slope

In the present paper, we present a further application of the exact method, due to Chwang, based on two-dimensional potential-flow theory to solve the hydrodynamic pressure problem with a more general configuration of a dam whose upstream face is broken at a known height. Our generalisation in essence assumes the fact that the upstream face of the dam makes two different angles with the horizontal, one at the bottom of the reservoir and the other at a known level above the bottom. The method of solution requires, as in the work of Chwang, the use of a Schwarz-Christoffel type of transformation and we have solved the problem by using Poisson's megral formula for an analytic function in a half-plane with known boundary values. The pressure coefficients have been evaluated numerically from the final solution and the results have been compared with those observed experimentally by Zangar.

2. Method of solution

As indicated in fig 1, the upstream face of the dam makes angles $\theta_1 = \alpha \pi$ and $\theta_2 = \gamma \pi$ with the horizontal up to and above a known level h_1 Rectangular Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z)



are employed throughout. The still water surface occupies the plane y = h, the x-axis is taken along the bottom of the reservoir and the z-axis is assumed to be perpendicular to the (x,y)-plane

We assume that the dam undergoes a uniform, horizontal acceleration a_0 in the x-direction for a short duration of time, and that the resulting flow has all the requirements for the two-dimensional flow theory to be applicable⁴. The mathematical problem then reduces to that of solving Laplace's equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} = 0 \tag{1}$$

where p(x,y) represents the hydrodynamic pressure at a point (x,y) exerted by the moompressible inviscid fluid in the reservoir. Equation (1) is required to be solved under the following boundary conditions.

$$p(x,y) = 0, (x \ge h_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1) - \beta_2 h, y = h)$$
 (2a)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0, (x \ge 0, y = 0) \tag{2b}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial n_1} = -\rho a_0 \sin \alpha \pi, (x = -\beta_1 y, 0 \le y \le h_1)$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial n_2} = -\rho a_0 \sin \gamma \pi, (x = h_1 (\beta_2 - \beta_1) - \beta_2 y, h_1 \le y \le h)$$
(2¢)

where \tilde{n}_1 and \tilde{n}_2 denote the inward normal vectors to the two portions of the dam face included between $0 \le y \le h_1$ and $h_1 \le y \le h$ respectively, with $\beta_1 = \cot \theta_1$ and $\beta_2 = \cot \theta_2$ (ρ denotes the constant density of the fluid).

We construct an analytic function f(Z) of the complex variable Z = x + iy as given by

$$f(Z) = p(x,y) + iq(x,y)$$
 (3)

where q(x,y) denotes the harmonic conjugate of p(x,y).

The boundary conditions (2b) and (2c) on using Cauchy-Riemann equations give rise to the following conditions for the function q(x,y).

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,y) &= 0, \quad (x \ge 0, \, y = 0) \\ q(x,y) &= -\rho a_0 y \quad (x = -\beta_1 y, \quad 0 \le y \le h_1) \\ &= -\rho a_0 \, (y + h_1) \, (x = h_1 \, (\beta_2 - \beta_1) - \beta_2 y, \, h_1 \le y \le h) \end{aligned}$$
(4)

We shall utilize the Schwarz-Christoffel tranformation⁵ to transform the given region in the Z-plane on to the upper half-plane of another complex variable $\zeta (= \xi + i\eta)$ and shall determine the transformed analytic function $F(\zeta)$, from which the original function f(Z) will be recovered by back transformation. The appropriate transformation to be utilized is given by

$$Z = A_{1}^{j} (\zeta + \xi_{1})^{\gamma - 1} \zeta^{\mu \gamma} (\zeta - 1)^{\alpha} d\zeta$$
(5)

where the constant A and the real number- $\xi_1(\xi_1 > 0)$ are to be determined such that the points ξ_1 , 0 and 1 of the ξ -plane are mapped on to the points Z_i , Z_2 and 0 of the Z-plane (figs 1 and 2) The determination of the constants A and ξ_1 is by no means a simple problem and we have shown in the last section of the present paper how these quantities were determined approximately

Using conditions (2a) and (4), the transformed boundary conditions are obtained as

$$Re[F(\zeta)] = 0, \quad (-\infty < \xi < -\xi_1, \quad \eta = 0)$$

$$Im[F(\zeta)] = \begin{cases} -\rho \times a_0 \ y(\xi) & (-\xi_1 < \xi < 1, \ \eta = 0) \\ 0 & (1 < \xi < \infty, \ \eta = 0) \end{cases}$$
(6)

with $y(\xi)$ being determined from the transformation formula (5). If we now use the fact that the analytic function $F(\zeta)$ behaves like $(\zeta + \xi_1)^{1/2}$ at the point ξ_1 , we are able to define a new analytic function

$$g(\zeta) = (\zeta + \xi_1)^{-1/2} F(\zeta), \tag{7}$$

considering only the positive branch of $(\zeta + \xi_1)^{-1/2}$, and then from equation (7) the boundary conditions (6) take the unified form

$$Im g(\zeta) = \begin{cases} -\rho \ a_0 \ y(\xi) \ (\zeta + \xi_1)^{-1/2} (-\xi_1 < \xi < 1, \ \eta = 0) \\ 0 \ (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$
(8)

- 1

A straightforward application of Poisson's integral formula for an analytic function in a half plane finally determines the function $g(\zeta)$, and hence $F(\zeta)$, in the form.

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{-\rho \, a_0}{\pi} \, (\zeta + \xi_1)^{1/2} \, \int\limits_{\xi_1}^{\lambda} \frac{y(\xi) \, d\xi}{(\xi + \xi_1)^{1/2} \, (\xi - \zeta_1)} \tag{9}$$

The real part of $F(\xi)$ obtained from equation (9) for $-\xi_1 < \xi < 1$, $\eta = 0$, ultimately gives the expression for $p(\xi)$ as

$$p(\xi) = \frac{A\rho a_0}{\pi} \left[\sin \alpha \pi \int_0^1 (t+\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} t^{\alpha-\gamma} (1-t)^{\alpha} \log_e \left| \frac{(t+\xi_1)^{1/2} + (\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2}}{(t+\xi_1)^{1/2} - (\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2}} \right| dt + \sin \gamma \pi \int_0^1 (\xi_1 - t)^{\gamma-1} t^{\alpha-\gamma} (1+t)^{\alpha} \log_e \left| \frac{(\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2} + (\xi_1 - t)^{1/2}}{(\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2} - (\xi_1 - t)^{1/2}} \right| dt \right], (-\xi_1 < \xi < 1)$$
(10)

from which we also derive that

$$\frac{dp(\xi)}{d\xi} = \frac{A}{\pi} \frac{\rho \, o_0}{(\xi + \xi_1)^{1/2}} \quad \left[\sin \alpha \, \pi \int_0^1 (t + \xi_1)^{\gamma - 1/2} t^{\sigma \cdot \gamma} \, (1 - t)^{\circ \sigma} - \frac{dt}{(t - \xi)} \right] \\ - \sin \gamma \, \pi \int_0^{t_1} (\xi_1 - t)^{\gamma + 1/2} t^{\sigma \cdot \gamma} \, (1 + t)^{\circ \sigma} - \frac{dt}{(t + \xi)} \left[-\xi_1 < \xi < 1 \right]$$
(11)

The singular integrals occurring in equation (11) are next converted into computable non-singular integrals by a standard contour integration procedure' and the alternate expressions for $dp(\xi)/d\xi$ in the two cases: (i) $\xi \leq 0$ and (ii) $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ are respectively given by

$$\frac{dp\left(\xi\right)}{d\xi} = \frac{A \rho a_{0}}{\pi\left(\xi + \xi_{1}\right)^{1/2}} \left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}} (t - \xi_{1})^{\gamma^{1/2}} t^{\varphi_{T}} (t + 1)^{\varphi} - \frac{dt}{(t + \xi)} -\pi \cos \gamma \pi \left(\xi_{1} + \xi\right)^{\gamma^{1/2}} (-\xi)^{\varphi_{T}} \left(1 - \xi_{1}\right)^{\varphi_{T}} \left[(-\xi_{1} < \xi \le 0) \right]$$

$$\frac{dp\left(\xi\right)}{d\xi} = \frac{A \rho a_{0}}{\pi (\xi + \xi_{1})^{1/2}} \left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}} (t - \xi_{1})^{\gamma^{1/2}} t^{\varphi_{T}} (t + 1)^{\varphi_{T}} - \frac{dt}{(t + \xi_{1})} \right]$$
(12a)

$$-\pi \cos \alpha \pi \left(\xi_{1}+\xi\right)^{\gamma/2} \xi^{\alpha\gamma} \left(1-\xi\right)^{\alpha} \right] (0 \le \xi < 1)$$
(12b)

The expressions (12a) and (12b) are integrated with respect to ξ assuming that $p(\xi)$ is continuous at $\xi = 0$ and we obtain the following computable expressions for $p(\xi)$:

$$p(\xi) = A \rho a_0 \left[2/\pi \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (t-\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} t^{s-\gamma} (t+1)^{-s} \tan^{-1} \frac{(\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2}}{(t-\xi_1)^{1/2}} dt - \cos \gamma \pi \int_{t_0}^{\xi} (t+\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} (-t)^{s-\gamma} (1-t)^{-s} dt \right] \quad (-\xi_1 < \xi \le 0)$$
(13a)

$$p(\xi) = A \rho a_0 \left[2/\pi \int_{\xi_1}^{\infty} (t-\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} t^{\varphi\gamma} (t+1)^{\varphi} \left\{ \tan^{-1} \frac{(\xi+\xi_1)^{1/2}}{(t-\xi_1)^{1/2}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{\xi_1^{1/2}}{(t-\xi_1)^{1/2}} \right\} dt - \cos \alpha \pi \int_{0}^{\xi} (t+\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} t^{\varphi-\gamma} (1-t)^{-\varphi} dt \right] + p(0),$$

$$(0 \le \xi < 1)$$
(13b)

The constant p(0) appearing in equation (13b) is the value of $p(\xi)$ at $\xi=0$, as obtained from equation (13a).

The pressure coefficient defined by

$$p = C_p \rho a_0 h \tag{14}$$

can now be computed from the expressions (13a) and (13b) in the range $-\xi_1 < \xi < 1$ and the results so obtained are represented graphically in figs. 3 and 4 where, for the purpose of comparison, we have also shown the graphs drawn by using results experimentally determined by Zangar.

We find that there is fine agreement between the results obtained by the present approach and those obtained by Zangar.

3. Determination of A and ξ_1

and

Using the fact that the points $-\xi_1$ and 0 of the ζ - plane are mapped on to the points Z_1 and Z_2 of the Z-plane we have the relations that

$$\frac{(h-h_1)}{\sin\gamma\pi} = A \int_0^{t_1} (\xi_1 - \xi)^{\gamma-1} \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} (\xi+1)^{-\alpha} d\xi$$

$$h_1/\sin\alpha\pi = A \int_0^1 (\xi+\xi_1)^{\gamma-1} \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} (1-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi$$
(15)



FIG 3 Comparison of the values of pressure coefficient ' C_{ρ} ' determined by exact method with those determined experimentally by Zangar. $\theta_2 = 90^\circ$, $\theta_1 \& h_1$ variable.

FIG 4 Comparison of the values of pressure coefficient ' C_5 ' determined by exact method with those determined experimentally by Zangar $\theta_2 = 90^\circ$, $h_1 = 3/4$ h & θ_1 variable

Also, from equations (15) we obtain the following relation:

$$I_1 - \lambda \xi^{\mu} I_2 = 0,$$
 (16)

where

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \xi^{e^{-\gamma}} (1 - \xi)^{-e} (\xi + \xi_{1})^{\gamma - 1} d\xi$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \xi^{e^{-\gamma}} (1 - \xi)^{\gamma - 1} (1 + \xi \xi_{1})^{-e} d\xi$$
(17)

and

$$\lambda = \frac{h_1 \sin(\gamma \pi)}{(h-h_1)\sin(\alpha \pi)}$$
(18)

Expressing the integrals su equations (17) in terms of hypergeometric functions⁵ and employing standard transformations⁶ to the hypergeometric functions, equation (16) can be written as

$$B(\alpha - \gamma + 1, 1 - \alpha) (1 + \xi_1)^{\gamma - 1} A'' F(1 - \gamma, 1 - \alpha, 1 - \alpha; \frac{\xi_1}{1 + \xi_1}) + B(\alpha - \gamma + 1, 1 - \alpha) (1 + \xi_1)^{\gamma - \alpha - 1} \xi_1^{\alpha} B'' F(\alpha - \gamma + 1, 1, 1 + \alpha; \frac{\xi_1}{1 + \xi_1}) - \lambda \xi_1^{\alpha} (1 + \xi_1)^{-\alpha} B(\alpha - \gamma + 1, \gamma) F(\alpha, \gamma, 1 + \alpha; \frac{\xi_1}{1 + \xi_1}) = 0,$$
(19)

where

$$A'' = \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma+1)} \text{ and } B'' = \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$$

 $\Gamma(Z)$ and B(p,q) denoting the gamma and the beta functions respectively.

Using the series representations of the hypergeometric functions, equation (19) can be approximated to a polynomial equation in ξ_1 , which can then be solved numerically. The value of A can next be determined for a known ξ_1 through any one of the equations (15). Using this approach, the numerical values of ξ_1 and A have been obtained and these are tabulated (Table 1) for different sets of values of α and h_1 and for $\gamma = 1/2$.

Table I

Numerical values of ξ_i and A

	$\theta_2 = 90^\circ, \ \gamma = 1/2, \ h = 10.0$				
B;	α	<i>h</i> 1	ξ.	A	Langer
23 4°	0 13	2 5	0 45392	3 18319	
40 9°	0 2272	50	0 14191	3 12279	
52 4°	0 2911	7.5	0 02481	3 18106	
36 8°	0 2044	75	0 00347	3 16291	
70 .3 °	0 3906	75	0 07991	3 18336	

References

I,	Westergaard, H M	Water pressures on dams during earthquakes Trans A.S.C.E., 1933, 98, 418-433		
2.	ZANGAR, C.N	Hydrodynamic pressures on dams due to horizontal earthquakes, Proc. Soc Expl Stress Anal. 1953, 10, 93-102		
3	CEWANG, A T	Hydrodynamic pressures on sloping dams during earthquakes Part 2 Exact Theory, J. Fluid Mech., 1978, 87, 343-348		
4.	LAMB, HORACE	Hydrodynamics, Ed 6, Cambridge University Press, London, 1932		
5	COPSON, E T	An introduction to the theory of functions of a complex variable. Oxford Univer- sity Press, London, 1935		
6	Gradshteyn, I.S. and Ryzhik, I M	Table of integrals, series and products, Academic Press, New York and London 1965		